

**“BABEȘ-BOLYAI” UNIVERSITY  
CLUJ-NAPOCA**



---

**An Inequality Concerning  
Symmetric Functions  
and some Applications**

**DORIN ANDRICA**

**AND**

**LIVIU MARE**

**RESEARCH REPORT 96 – 4**

---

**FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS  
AND COMPUTER SCIENCE**

**An Inequality Concerning  
Symmetric Functions  
and some Applications**

**DORIN ANDRICA**

**AND**

**LIVIU MARE**

**RESEARCH REPORT 96 - 4**

**May 1996**

**"Babeş-Bolyai" University**

**Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science**

**Str. Mihail Kogălniceanu nr. 1**

**RO-3400 Cluj-Napoca ROMANIA**

**Tel : 40-64-19.43.15**

**Fax : 40-64-19.19.06, 19.50.51**

**E-mail : ...@MATH.UBBCLUJ.RO**

# AN INEQUALITY CONCERNING SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS AND SOME APPLICATIONS

Dorin Andrica

Liviu Mare

*"Babes-Bolyai" University, Faculty of Mathematics  
Str. Kogalniceanu 1  
Ro-3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
e-mail: dandrica@math.ubbcluj.ro*

## Abstract

An inequality for symmetric continuous functions  $E : I^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is proved in Theorem 1.1. and a variant for  $C^1$ -differentiable functions is given in Theorem.1.2. Some applications concerning inequalities between means or convex functions are presented in the second section.

**Key words and phrases:** symmetric functions, arithmetic, geometric, and harmonic means, Jensen's inequality

**1991 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 26D20, 26A51

## 1. The main results

Let  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be an interval and  $I^n = I \times \dots \times I$  ( $n$  times),  $I^n \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ . Consider  $(\mathcal{S}_n, o)$  the permutations group of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  acting on  $I^n$  by  $\sigma x = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Recall that a real-valued function  $E : I^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is **symmetric** or  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -**invariant** if for every  $x \in I^n$  the relation  $E(\sigma x) = E(x)$  holds, i.e.  $E$  is constant on the  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -orbits.

The main purpose of this section consists in proving of two general results on symmetric functions which will be very useful in obtaining some important inequalities.

**Theorem 1. 1** *Let  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be an interval and let  $E : I^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a symmetric continuous function satisfying for every  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I^n$  with  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  the inequality*

$$E(a_1, \dots, a_n) \begin{matrix} \leq \\ (\geq) \end{matrix} E\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}, \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}, a_3, \dots, a_n\right) \quad (1)$$



Then for every  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I^n$  the following inequality holds

$$E(a_1, \dots, a_n) \begin{matrix} \leq \\ (\geq) \end{matrix} E\left(\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n}, \dots, \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n}\right) \quad (2)$$

**Proof.** We prove by induction on  $k$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , that for every  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I^n$  with  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  the following inequality is satisfied

$$E(a_1, \dots, a_n) \begin{matrix} \leq \\ (\geq) \end{matrix} E\left(\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_k}{k}, \dots, \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_k}{k}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\right) \quad (3)$$

Taking into account the hypotheses (1) one obtains that the assertion is true for  $k = 2$ .

Let us suppose that (3) is verified for a fixed number  $k \geq 2$  and denote

$$\alpha = \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_k}{k}, \quad \beta = a_{k+1} \quad (4)$$

Because  $\alpha \leq \alpha \leq \dots \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq a_{k+1} \leq \dots \leq a_n$  it follows

$$E(\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n) \begin{matrix} \leq \\ (\geq) \end{matrix} E(x_p, \dots, x_p, y_p, z_p, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n) \quad (5)$$

where the sequences  $(x_p), (y_p), (z_p)$  are defined by

$$y_{2p+1} = x_{2p+1} = x_{2p+2} = \frac{(k-1)x_{2p} + z_{2p}}{k}, \quad p \geq 1$$

$$y_{2p} = z_{2p} = z_{2p+1} = \frac{x_{2p-1} + z_{2p-1}}{2}, \quad p \geq 1$$

Put  $u_p = z_{2p} = z_{2p+1}$ ,  $p \geq 0$  and  $u_0 = z_0 = z_1 = \beta$ . We also denote  $v_p = x_{2p-1} = x_{2p}$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{cases} v_{p+1} = \frac{(k-1)v_p + u_p}{k}, \\ u_p = \frac{v_p + u_{p-1}}{2} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where  $p \geq 1, v_1 = \alpha, u_0 = \beta$ . From the relations (6) one obtains  $k(v_{j+1} - v_j) = u_{j-1} - u_j$ ,  $j \geq 1$ . By adding these equalities for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , it follows  $k(v_{p+1} - v_1) = u_0 - u_p$ ; so  $k(v_{p+1} - \alpha) = \beta - u_p$ . Therefore  $kv_{p+1} = k\alpha + \beta - u_p$  and using the first relation of (6) one obtains

$$v_{p+1} = \frac{k-1}{2k}v_p + \frac{k\alpha + \beta}{2k}.$$

Because  $0 \leq \frac{k-1}{2k} < 1$  it immediately follows that the sequence  $(v_p)$  is convergent and

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} v_p = \frac{k\alpha + \beta}{k+1}.$$



Moreover

$$u_p = kv_{p+1} - (k-1)v_p \rightarrow k \frac{k\alpha + \beta}{k+1} - (k-1) \frac{k\alpha + \beta}{k+1} = \frac{k\alpha + \beta}{k+1}$$

Using the continuity of the function  $E$  and the inequality (5) it follows for  $p \rightarrow \infty$

$$E(\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n) \begin{matrix} \leq \\ (\geq) \end{matrix} E\left(\frac{k\alpha + \beta}{k+1}, \dots, \frac{k\alpha + \beta}{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n\right)$$

Taking into account that (3) is satisfied for the fixed number  $k$ , one obtains

$$E(a_1, \dots, a_n) \begin{matrix} \leq \\ (\geq) \end{matrix} E\left(\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_{k+1}}{k+1}, \dots, \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_{k+1}}{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_n\right)$$

and the assertion is proved by mathematical induction principle.

**Theorem 1. 2** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be an open interval and let  $E : I^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a symmetric  $C^1$ -differentiable function satisfying for every  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I^n$  with  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  the inequality

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_1}(a) \begin{matrix} \geq \\ (\leq) \end{matrix} \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_2}(a) \quad (7)$$

Then for every  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I^n$  the inequality (2) holds.

**Proof.** Applying the mean value theorem for the function  $E$  and the segment  $[a, b]$  where  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $b = (\frac{a_1+a_2}{2}, \frac{a_1+a_2}{2}, a_3, \dots, a_n)$  one obtains a point  $\xi \in (a, b)$  with the property

$$\begin{aligned} E(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) - E\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}, \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}, a_3, \dots, a_n\right) &= \\ &= \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_1}(\xi)\left(a_1 - \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}\right) + \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_2}(\xi)\left(a_2 - \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}\right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(a_2 - a_1)\left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_2}(\xi) - \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_1}(\xi)\right) \begin{matrix} \leq \\ (\geq) \end{matrix} 0 \end{aligned}$$

that is the condition (1) in Theorem 1.1 is satisfied and the desired conclusion is obtained.

**Remark 1. 3.** Suppose that the function  $E : I^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is symmetric and continuous. To verify the condition (1) in Theorem 1.1 for  $E$  and  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I^n$ ,  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ , consider  $\beta = \frac{a_1+a_2}{2}$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{a_2-a_1}{2}$  and the function  $\varphi : [0, \gamma] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  given by  $\varphi(t) = E(\beta - t, \beta + t, a_3, \dots, a_n)$ . If the function  $\varphi$  is decreasing (increasing) on  $[0, \gamma]$  it follows

$$E(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \varphi(\gamma) \begin{matrix} \leq \\ (\geq) \end{matrix} \varphi(0) = E\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}, \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}, a_3, \dots, a_n\right)$$

that (1) is satisfied and in this case one obtains the inequality (2).

If the function  $E : I^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1. 2 then the derivative of function  $\varphi$  is given by  $\varphi'(t) = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_1}(u(t)) + \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_2}(u(t)) \begin{matrix} \leq \\ \geq \end{matrix} 0$  on  $[0, \gamma]$ , where  $u(t) = (\beta - t, \beta + t, a_3, \dots, a_n)$ , consequently  $\varphi$  is decreasing (increasing) on  $[0, \gamma]$ , and (1) is verified.

Other results involving weighted-symmetric functions are given in the forthcoming authors' paper [3].

## 2. Applications

In this section the following standard notations will be used (see [4],[5]). For  $I = (0, \infty)$ ,  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in I^n$  let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(a) &= \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} && \text{(arithmetic mean)} \\ G_n(a) &= \sqrt[n]{a_1 \dots a_n} && \text{(geometric mean)} \\ H_n(a) &= \frac{n}{\frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}} && \text{(harmonic mean)} \\ M_n^{(\alpha)}(a) &= \left( \frac{a_1^\alpha + \dots + a_n^\alpha}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha > 0 && \text{(mean of order } \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

**Application 2.1.** Let

$$E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = S_k(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k} a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}$$

be the  $k^{th}$  symmetric sum of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . It is easy to verify that for  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  the condition (7) in Theorem 1. 2. is satisfied. Therefore  $S_k(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq S_k(A_n(a), \dots, A_n(a))$  which is equivalent with the well-known McLaurin' inequality

$$S_k(a_1, \dots, a_n) / \binom{n}{k} \leq (A_n(a))^k \quad (8)$$

If  $k = n$ , (8) becomes the arithmetic-geometric means inequality  $G_n(a) \leq A_n(a)$ .

**Application 2.2.** Consider  $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_1 \dots a_n (\frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}) = S_{n-1}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  satisfying for  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  the condition (7) in Theorem 1.2. Then  $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq E(A_n(a), \dots, A_n(a))$ , that is  $(G_n(a))^n (n/H_n(a)) \leq (A_n(a))^n (n/A_n(a))$  which represents the first part of W. Sierpinski' inequalities ([6], [5, pp. 21-25]):

$$(A_n(a))^{n-1} H_n(a) \geq (G_n(a))^n \geq A_n(a) (H_n(a))^{n-1} \quad (9)$$

Taking into account the following relations

$$A_n\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) = 1/H_n(a), G_n\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) = 1/G_n(a), H_n\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) = 1/A_n(a),$$

one obtains that the first inequality in (9) is equivalent with the second one.

The first inequality in (9) is the best in the following sense:

$$(G_n(a)/A_n(a))^\alpha \leq H_n(a)/A_n(a) \iff \alpha \geq n \quad (10)$$

Using (9) one obtains that  $\alpha \geq n$  is a sufficient condition for (10). To show that  $\alpha \geq n$  is also necessary for (10) let us consider  $a = (1 - \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon, 1, \dots, 1)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$  and it follows  $(\sqrt[n]{1 - \varepsilon^2})^\alpha \leq \frac{n}{n-2+\frac{2}{1-\varepsilon^2}}$ . Put  $t = 1 - \varepsilon^2$  and one obtains the equivalent inequality

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \leq \frac{n}{n-2+\frac{2}{t}}, \quad t \in (0, 1]$$

therefore  $(n-2)t^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} + 2t^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1} \leq n$ . If  $\alpha < n$ , then for  $t \searrow 0$  a contradiction follows and consequently  $\alpha \geq n$ .

**Application 2.3.** We shall use Theorem 1.1 to prove the inequality

$$G_n(a)M_n^{(2)}(a) \leq A_n^2(a) \quad (11)$$

which is a refinement of arithmetic-geometric means inequality since  $M_n^{(2)}(a) \geq A_n(a)$  (see [4, pp. 76-77]).

Consider  $E(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)^2 (a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2)^n$ . Suppose  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  and put  $\beta = \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{a_2 - a_1}{2}$ . Following the idea presented in Remark 1.3. let us consider the function  $\varphi: [0, \gamma] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\varphi(t) = E(\beta - t, \beta + t, a_3, \dots, a_n)$ . An elementary computation shows that

$$\varphi(t) = ((\beta^2 - t^2)a_3 \dots a_n)^2 (2t^2 + 2\beta^2 + a_3^2 + \dots + a_n^2)^2$$

and  $\varphi'(t) = (a_3 \dots a_n)^2 4t(\beta^2 - t^2)(2t^2 + 2\beta^2 + a_3^2 + \dots + a_n^2)(-(n+2)t^2 + (n-2)\beta^2 - a_3^2 - \dots - a_n^2)$ . Because  $0 \leq t \leq \gamma < \beta \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq \dots \leq a_n$  one obtains  $\varphi'(t) \leq 0$  on  $[0, \gamma]$ , i.e.  $\varphi$  is decreasing on  $[0, \gamma]$ . Applying Remark 1.3. and Theorem 1.1 it follows (11).

The inequality (11) is strongest in the following sense:

$$(G_n(a)/A_n(a))^\alpha \leq A_n(a)/M_n^{(2)}(a) \iff \alpha \geq 1 \quad (12)$$

The sufficiency of condition  $\alpha \geq 1$  was proved above. For the necessity consider  $a_1 = 1 + x$ ,  $a_2 = 1 - x$ ,  $a_3 = a_4 = \dots = a_n = 1$ , where  $x \in [0, 1)$ . Then

$$(\sqrt[n]{1 - x^2})^\alpha \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{2x^2 + n}}, \quad \text{thus } (1 - x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} (2x^2 + n)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

Let  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the function given by  $f(t) = (1 - t)^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} (2t + n)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Remark that for every  $t \in [0, 1)$ ,  $f(t) \leq f(0) = \sqrt{n}$ , i.e.  $t = 0$  is a maximum point of  $f$ . On the other hand the derivative of  $f$  is

$$f'(t) = -(1 - t)^{\frac{\alpha}{n}-1} (2t + n)^{-1/2} \left( \left( \frac{2\alpha}{n} + 1 \right) t + \alpha - 1 \right)$$



If  $\alpha < 1$ , then  $0 < \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\frac{2\alpha}{n}} < 1$  and one obtains that  $f$  strictly increasing on the interval  $[0, \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\frac{2\alpha}{n}})$ . Therefore  $\sqrt{n} = f(0) < f(t)$ ,  $t \in (0, \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\frac{2\alpha}{n}})$  a contradiction.

**Application 2.4.** For a given function  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  let us denote

$$D_g^{(n)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(a_i) - g\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right),$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in I$ .

**Definition.** The function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is **m-g-convex** if for all  $a_1, a_2 \in I$  the following inequality is verified:

$$\frac{f(a_1) + f(a_2)}{2} - f\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}\right) \geq m \cdot D_g^{(2)}(a_1, a_2) \quad (13)$$

The function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is **M-g-concave** if for all  $a_1, a_2 \in I$  the following relation holds:

$$M \cdot D_g^{(2)}(a_1, a_2) \geq \frac{f(a_1) + f(a_2)}{2} - f\left(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}\right) \quad (14)$$

Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a m-g-convex and M-g-concave continuous function on  $I$ , where  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous and convex,  $M > m$ . Consider

$$E_1(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(a_i) - m \sum_{i=1}^n g(a_i),$$

$$E_2(a_1, \dots, a_n) = M \sum_{i=1}^n g(a_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n f(a_i).$$

It is clear that the functions  $E_1, E_2 : I^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  are symmetric, continuous and taking into account (13), (14) it follows that  $E_1, E_2$  satisfy the condition (1) in Theorem 1.1. with " $\geq$ ". From (2) one obtains

$$M \cdot D_g^{(n)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(a_i) - f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right) \geq m \cdot D_g^{(n)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad (15)$$

which represent refinements of the well-known Jensen' inequality.

An interesting situation studied in [1], [2] (see also [5, pp 564-566]) is given by the convex function  $g : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, g(t) = t^2$ . In this case

$$D_g^{(n)}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i < j} (a_i - a_j)^2$$

and if  $I = [\alpha, \beta]$ , then every function  $f \in C^2[\alpha, \beta]$  is m-g-convex and M-g-concave on  $I$ , where

$$m = \frac{1}{2} \min\{f''(t) : t \in [\alpha, \beta]\} \text{ and } M = \frac{1}{2} \max\{f''(t) : t \in [\alpha, \beta]\}.$$



The inequalities (15) becomes

$$\frac{M}{n^2} \sum_{i < j} (a_i - a_j)^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(a_i) - f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right) \geq \frac{m}{n^2} \sum_{i < j} (a_i - a_j)^2 \quad (16)$$

which have many interesting applications (see [1] fore instance).

## References

- [1] Andrica, D., Raşa, I., The Jensen Inequality: Refinements and Applications, *L'Analyse Numerique et le Theorie de l'Approximation*, **14**, No.2 (1985), 105-108
- [2] Andrica, D., Drimbe, M.O., On some inequalities involving isotonic functionals, *L'Analyse Numerique et le Theorie de l'Approximation*, **17**, No. 1 (1988), 1-7
- [3] Andrica, D., Mare, L. An inequality concerning weighted- symmetric functions and applications, in preparation.
- [4] Mitrinovic, D.S., *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin- Heidelberg- New York, 1970
- [5] Mitrinovic, D.S., Pecaric, J.E., Fink, A.M., *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/ Boston /London, 1993
- [6] Sierpinski, W., Sur un inégalité pour la moyenne arithmétique, géométrique et harmonique, *Warsh. Sitzungsber.* **2** (1909), 354-357

## PUBLICATIONS of BBU-FMCS

- 95-1 A. MARCUS *Blocks of Normal Subgroups and Equivalences Induced by Graded Bimodules*
- 95-2 P. HAUKKANEN and L. TÓTH *A Generalization of Euler's  $\phi$ -Function with respect to a Set of Polynomials*
- 95-3 G. KASSAY and J. KOLUMBÁN *On a Generalized Sup-Inf Problem*
- 95-4 L. TATARU, I.V. VANCEA and P.A. BLAGA *BRST Cohomology in 2D Conformal Gravity in BV Approach*
- 95-5 W.W. BRECKNER, T. TRIF and CS. VARGA *Some Applications of the Condensations of the Singularities of Families of Nonnegative Functions*
- 95-6 C. PINTEA *Discrete Sets and Homotopy Groups*
- 95-7 C. PINTEA and CS. VARGA *Fiber Spaces, Homology and Homotopy Groups*
- 95-8 D. ANDRICA *Functions with a Minimal Number of Critical Points*
- 95-9 E. KIRR and R. PRECUP *Periodic Solutions of Superlinear Impulsive Differential Systems*
- 96-1 S. COBZAȘ and C. MUSTĂȚA *Extension of Bilinear Functionals and Operators, and Best Approximation Theory in 2-normed Spaces*
- 96-2 G. KOHR and C. PINTEA *An Extension of Jack's-Miller's-Mocanu's Lemma for Holomorphic Mappings Defined on Some Domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$*
- 96-3 P. T. MOCANU *Conditions for Diffeomorphism in the Complex Plane*
- 96-4 D. ANDRICA and L. MARE *An Inequality Concerning Symmetric Functions and some Applications*