

1. The definition of conditional probability implies that

$$\mathbf{P}\{X > t + s | X > t\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\{X > t + s, X > t\}}{\mathbf{P}\{X > t\}} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\{X > t + s\}}{\mathbf{P}\{X > t\}}$$

since the only way for both  $\{X > t + s\}$  and  $\{X > t\}$  to happen is if  $\{X > t + s\}$  happens. Since  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , we find that if  $a > 0$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}\{X > a\} = \int_a^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = -e^{-\lambda x} \Big|_a^\infty = e^{-\lambda a}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{P}\{X > t + s | X > t\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\{X > t + s\}}{\mathbf{P}\{X > t\}} = \frac{e^{-\lambda(t+s)}}{e^{-\lambda t}} = e^{-\lambda s} = \mathbf{P}\{X > s\}.$$

Equivalently, Bayes' rule implies that

$$\mathbf{P}\{X > t + s | X > t\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\{X > t | X > t + s\} \mathbf{P}\{X > t + s\}}{\mathbf{P}\{X > t\}} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\{X > t + s\}}{\mathbf{P}\{X > t\}}$$

since  $\mathbf{P}\{X > t | X > t + s\} = 1$ ; that is, if know  $X$  is at least  $t + s$ , then we know with certainty that  $X$  is at least  $t$ . We find, as above,

$$\mathbf{P}\{X > t + s | X > t\} = \frac{\mathbf{P}\{X > t + s\}}{\mathbf{P}\{X > t\}} = \frac{e^{-\lambda(t+s)}}{e^{-\lambda t}} = e^{-\lambda s} = \mathbf{P}\{X > s\}.$$

2. If  $X \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$ , then

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(a) We find

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) dx = \int_0^1 x \cdot 1 dx = \frac{1}{2} x^2 \Big|_0^1 = \frac{1}{2}$$

and therefore

$$\sigma^2 = \text{var}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 f(x) dx = \int_0^1 (x - 1/2)^2 \cdot 1 dx = \frac{1}{3} (x - 1/2)^3 \Big|_0^1 = \frac{(1/2)^3 - (-1/2)^3}{3} = \frac{1}{12}.$$

Equivalently,  $\text{var}(X)$  can be determined by first computing

$$\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 f(x) dx = \int_0^1 x^2 \cdot 1 dx = \frac{1}{3} x^3 \Big|_0^1 = \frac{1}{3}$$

and noting that

$$\sigma^2 = \text{var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - [\mathbb{E}(X)]^2 = \frac{1}{3} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{12}.$$

(b) We begin by noting that

$$\mathbf{P}\{\mu - 2\sigma < X < \mu + 2\sigma\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} < X < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right\} = \int_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}} f(x) dx.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} > 1.$$

Thus, since  $f(x) = 1$  only when  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}} f(x) dx &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}^0 f(x) dx + \int_0^1 f(x) dx + \int_1^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}} f(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}^0 0 dx + \int_0^1 1 dx + \int_1^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}} 0 dx \\ &= 0 + \int_0^1 1 dx + 0 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Chebychev's inequality states that  $\mathbf{P}\{\mu - 2\sigma < X < \mu + 2\sigma\} \geq 0.75$ ; in other words, the area under any density curve within two standard deviations of the mean is at least 0.75. In this example, the area is 1 which, as promised by Chebychev's inequality, is at least 0.75.

**3.** Suppose that  $X$  is a random variable with density function

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+n}{2}) (\frac{m}{n})^{m/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \frac{x^{m/2-1}}{(1 + \frac{mx}{n})^{(m+n)/2}}, \quad 0 < x < \infty.$$

Let  $Y = 1/(1 + \frac{m}{n}X)$  so that if  $0 \leq y \leq 1$ , then the distribution function of  $Y$  is

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(y) &= \mathbf{P}\{Y \leq y\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{1/(1 + \frac{m}{n}X) \leq y\right\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{1 + \frac{m}{n}X \geq 1/y\right\} = \mathbf{P}\left\{X \geq \frac{n}{m}(1/y - 1)\right\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbf{P}\left\{X \leq \frac{n}{m}(1/y - 1)\right\} \\ &= 1 - \int_0^{\frac{n}{m}(1/y-1)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+n}{2}) (\frac{m}{n})^{m/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \frac{x^{m/2-1}}{(1 + \frac{mx}{n})^{(m+n)/2}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking derivatives with respect to  $y$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+n}{2}) (\frac{m}{n})^{m/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \frac{(\frac{n}{m}(1/y - 1))^{m/2-1}}{(1 + \frac{m \frac{n}{m}(1/y-1)}{n})^{(m+n)/2}} \cdot \frac{n}{my^2} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+n}{2}) (\frac{m}{n})^{m/2} (\frac{n}{m})^{m/2} (1/y - 1)^{m/2-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}) (1/y)^{(m+n)/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{y^2} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} y^{m/2+n/2} y^{-2} y^{1-m/2} (1 - y)^{m/2-1} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} y^{n/2-1} (1 - y)^{m/2-1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq y \leq 1$ . We recognize that this is the density of a  $\text{Beta}(n/2, m/2)$  random variable, and so we conclude that  $Y = 1/(1 + \frac{m}{n}X) \sim \text{Beta}(n/2, m/2)$ .

4. Suppose that  $X$  is a random variable with density function

$$f_X(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi n} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{n}\right)^{-(n+1)/2}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

Let  $Y = X^2$ . If  $y \geq 0$ , then the distribution function of  $Y$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(y) &= \mathbf{P}\{Y \leq y\} = \mathbf{P}\{X^2 \leq y\} = \mathbf{P}\{-\sqrt{y} \leq X \leq \sqrt{y}\} = \int_{-\sqrt{y}}^{\sqrt{y}} f_X(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^{\sqrt{y}} f_X(x) dx + \int_0^{\sqrt{y}} f_X(-x) dx = 2 \int_0^{\sqrt{y}} f_X(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking derivatives with respect to  $y$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= f_X(\sqrt{y}) \cdot \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} + f_X(-\sqrt{y}) \cdot \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{y}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} (f_X(\sqrt{y}) + f_X(-\sqrt{y})) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi n y} \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{y}{n}\right)^{-(n+1)/2} \end{aligned}$$

for  $y \geq 0$ . Equivalently, if use the fact that  $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ , then for  $y \geq 0$  we can express  $f_Y$  as

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1+n}{2}) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \cdot \frac{y^{1/2-1}}{\left(1 + \frac{y}{n}\right)^{(1+n)/2}}.$$

(Notice that this density is the same as the one given in the previous problem with  $m = 1$ .)

5. If we let  $Y = M - 3$ , then we are told that  $Y \sim \text{Exp}(1/2)$  so that  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = 2$  and  $\text{var}(Y) = 4$ . This was done in class. If  $Y \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = 1/\lambda$  and  $\text{var}(Y) = 1/\lambda^2$ . Hence, if  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = 2$ , then it must be the case that  $\lambda = 1/2$ .

(a) We find  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = \mathbb{E}(M - 3) = \mathbb{E}(M) - 3$  so that  $\mathbb{E}(M) = \mathbb{E}(Y) + 3 = 2 + 3 = 5$ . We also find that  $\text{var}(Y) = \text{var}(M - 3) = \text{var}(M) = 4$ .

(b) Since  $M = \log X$ , we can write  $Y = \log(X) - 3$  where  $Y \sim \text{Exp}(1/2)$ . For  $\log(x) - 3 \geq 0$ , or equivalently, for  $x \geq e^3$ , the distribution function of  $X$  is

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(x) &= \mathbf{P}\{X \leq x\} = \mathbf{P}\{\log(X) - 3 \leq \log(x) - 3\} = \mathbf{P}\{Y \leq \log(x) - 3\} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\log(x)-3} f_Y(y) dy \\ &= \int_0^{\log(x)-3} \frac{1}{2} e^{-y/2} dy \\ &= 1 - e^{-1/2(\log(x)-3)} \\ &= 1 - e^{3/2} x^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the density function of  $X$  is

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{3/2}}{2} x^{-3/2}, & x \geq e^3, \\ 0, & x < e^3. \end{cases}$$

(c) Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  denote the magnitudes of the two earthquakes so that  $Y_1 = M_1 - 3$  and  $Y_2 = M_2 - 3$  are independent  $\text{Exp}(1/2)$  random variables. We are interested in computing  $\mathbf{P}\{\min\{M_1, M_2\} > 4\}$ . However, we don't know the distributions of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . Instead, we observe that

$$\min\{M_1, M_2\} > 4 \text{ if and only if } \{M_1 - 3, M_2 - 3\} > 4 - 3,$$

That is,  $\mathbf{P}\{\min\{M_1, M_2\} > 4\} = \mathbf{P}\{\min\{Y_1, Y_2\} > 1\}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\min\{Y_1, Y_2\} > 1\} &= \mathbf{P}\{Y_1 > 1, Y_2 > 1\} = \mathbf{P}\{Y_1 > 1\} \mathbf{P}\{Y_2 > 1\} = [\mathbf{P}\{Y_1 > 1\}]^2 \\ &= \left[ \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{2} e^{-y/2} dy \right]^2 \\ &= [e^{-1/2}]^2 \\ &= e^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**6.** Let  $X_i$  be the lifetime of the  $i$ th component so that  $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$  where  $\lambda_i$  is given in the diagram in the problem. If  $Y_1 = \min\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ ,  $Y_2 = \min\{X_4, X_5\}$ , and  $Y = \max\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ , then the expected lifetime of the circuit is given by  $\mathbb{E}(Y)$ . We begin by finding the distribution function of  $Y_1$ . That is, if  $y \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Y_1}(y) &= \mathbf{P}\{Y_1 \leq y\} = 1 - \mathbf{P}\{Y_1 > y\} = 1 - \mathbf{P}\{\min\{X_1, X_2, X_3\} > y\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbf{P}\{X_1 > y, X_2 > y, X_3 > y\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbf{P}\{X_1 > y\} \mathbf{P}\{X_2 > y\} \mathbf{P}\{X_3 > y\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}\{X_i > y\} = \int_y^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = -e^{-\lambda x} \Big|_y^\infty = e^{-\lambda y}.$$

(This same calculation was done in Problem 1.) Thus, if  $y \geq 0$ , then

$$F_{Y_1}(y) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_1 y} e^{-\lambda_2 y} e^{-\lambda_3 y} = 1 - e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)y}.$$

The distribution function of  $Y_2$  is found in exactly the same manner. That is, if  $y \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Y_2}(y) &= \mathbf{P}\{Y_2 \leq y\} = 1 - \mathbf{P}\{Y_2 > y\} = 1 - \mathbf{P}\{\min\{X_4, X_5\} > y\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbf{P}\{X_4 > y, X_5 > y\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbf{P}\{X_4 > y\} \mathbf{P}\{X_5 > y\} \\ &= 1 - e^{-\lambda_4 y} e^{-\lambda_5 y} \\ &= 1 - e^{-(\lambda_4 + \lambda_5)y}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $y \geq 0$ , the distribution function of  $Y$  is

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(y) &= \mathbf{P}\{Y \leq y\} = \mathbf{P}\{\max\{Y_1, Y_2\} \leq y\} = \mathbf{P}\{Y_1 \leq y, Y_2 \leq y\} \\ &= \mathbf{P}\{Y_1 \leq y\} \mathbf{P}\{Y_2 \leq y\} \\ &= \left[1 - e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)y}\right] \left[1 - e^{-(\lambda_4 + \lambda_5)y}\right] \\ &= 1 - e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)y} - e^{-(\lambda_4 + \lambda_5)y} + e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5)y} \end{aligned}$$

so that the density function of  $Y$  is

$$f_Y(y) = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)y} + (\lambda_4 + \lambda_5)e^{-(\lambda_4 + \lambda_5)y} - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5)e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5)y}$$

for  $y \geq 0$ . Since we now know the density function for  $Y$ , we can compute  $\mathbb{E}(Y)$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(Y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y f_Y(y) dy \\ &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \int_0^{\infty} y e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)y} dy + (\lambda_4 + \lambda_5) \int_0^{\infty} y e^{-(\lambda_4 + \lambda_5)y} dy \\ &\quad - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5) \int_0^{\infty} y e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5)y} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda_4 + \lambda_5} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \lambda^2 x e^{-\lambda x} dx = 1$$

since it is the integral of the density of a  $\text{Gamma}(2, \lambda)$  random variable. Thus,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \lambda x e^{-\lambda x} dx = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Finally, we can substitute in the values of  $\lambda_i$ , namely  $\lambda_1 = 0.3$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0.4$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 0.3$ ,  $\lambda_4 = 0.1$ ,  $\lambda_5 = 0.1$ , to conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = \frac{1}{0.3 + 0.4 + 0.3} + \frac{1}{0.1 + 0.1} - \frac{1}{0.3 + 0.4 + 0.3 + 0.1 + 0.1} = \frac{31}{6}.$$

**7.** If  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$ , then

$$f(x) = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x}$$

for  $x \geq 0$  and so

$$m(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{tX}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f(x) dx = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{tx} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x} dx = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{\infty} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\lambda-t)x} dx.$$

We recognize the integral as the integral of a gamma density function with parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda - t$ . Thus,

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\lambda-t)x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{(\lambda-t)^\alpha}$$

and so

$$m(t) = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{(\lambda-t)^\alpha} = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{(\lambda-t)^\alpha}.$$