## Lecture \#33, 34: The Characteristic Function for a Diffusion

Recall that the characteristic function of a random variable $X$ is the function $\varphi_{X}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\varphi_{X}(\theta)=\mathbb{E}\left(e^{i \theta X}\right)$. From Exercise 3.9, if $X \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, then the characteristic function of $X$ is

$$
\varphi_{X}(\theta)=\exp \left\{i \mu \theta-\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{2}\right\}
$$

Suppose that $\left\{X_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ is a stochastic process. For each $T \geq 0$, we know that $X_{T}$ is a random variable. Thus, we can consider $\varphi_{X_{T}}(\theta)$.
In the particular case that $\left\{X_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ is a diffusion defined by the stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}+\mu\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{21.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{B_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ is a standard Brownian motion with $B_{0}=0$, if we can solve the SDE, then we can determine $\varphi_{X_{T}}(\theta)$ for any $T \geq 0$.

Example 21.1. Consider the case when both coefficients in (21.1) are constant so that

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\sigma \mathrm{d} B_{t}+\mu \mathrm{d} t
$$

where $\left\{B_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ is a standard Brownian motion with $B_{0}=0$, In this case, the SDE is trivial to solve. If $X_{0}=x$ is constant, then for any $T \geq 0$, we have

$$
X_{T}=x+\sigma B_{T}+\mu T
$$

which is simply arithmetic Brownian motion started at $x$. Therefore,

$$
X_{T} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(x+\mu T, \sigma^{2} T\right)
$$

so that

$$
\varphi_{X_{T}}(\theta)=\exp \left\{i(x+\mu T) \theta-\frac{\sigma^{2} T \theta^{2}}{2}\right\} .
$$

Example 21.2. Consider the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck stochastic differential equation given by

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\sigma \mathrm{d} B_{t}+a X_{t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

where $\sigma$ and $a$ are constants. As we saw in Lecture $\# 31$, if $X_{0}=x$ is constant, then for any $T \geq 0$, we have

$$
X_{T}=e^{a T} x+\sigma \int_{0}^{T} e^{a(T-s)} \mathrm{d} B_{s} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(x e^{a T}, \frac{\sigma^{2}\left(e^{2 a T}-1\right)}{2 a}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\varphi_{X_{T}}(\theta)=\exp \left\{i x e^{a T} \theta-\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(e^{2 a T}-1\right) \theta^{2}}{4 a}\right\}
$$

Now it might seem like the only way to determine the characteristic function $\varphi_{X_{T}}(\theta)$ if $\left\{X_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ is a diffusion defined by (21.1) is to solve this SDE. Fortunately, this is not true. In many cases, the characteristic function for a diffusion defined by a SDE can be found using the Feynman-Kac representation theorem without actually solving the SDE.

Consider the diffusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}+\mu\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{21.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know from Version IV of Itô's formula (Theorem 14.12) that if $f \in C^{1}([0, \infty)) \times C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} f\left(t, X_{t}\right) & =f^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} X_{t}+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d}\langle X\rangle_{t}+\dot{f}(t, x) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) f^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}+\left[\mu\left(t, X_{t}\right) f^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}\left(t, X_{t}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)+\dot{f}(t, x)\right] \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

We also know from Theorem 12.6 that any Itô integral is a martingale. Therefore, if we can find a particular function $f(t, x)$ such that the $\mathrm{d} t$ term is zero, then $f\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ will be a martingale. We define the differential operator (sometimes called the generator of the diffusion) to be the operator $\mathcal{A}$ given by

$$
(\mathcal{A} f)(t, x)=\mu(t, x) f^{\prime}(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(t, x) f^{\prime \prime}(t, x)+\dot{f}(t, x) .
$$

Note that Version IV of Itô's formula now takes the form

$$
\mathrm{d} f\left(t, X_{t}\right)=\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) f^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}+(\mathcal{A} f)\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

This shows us the first connection between stochastic calculus and differential equations, namely that if $\left\{X_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ is a diffusion defined by (21.2) and if $f \in C^{1}([0, \infty)) \times C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, then $f\left(t, X_{t}\right)$ is a martingale if and only if $f$ satisfies the partial differential equation

$$
(\mathcal{A} f)(t, x)=0
$$

The Feynman-Kac representation theorem extends this idea by providing an explicit formula for the solution of this partial differential equation subject to certain boundary conditions.

Theorem 21.3 (Feynman-Kac Representation Theorem). Suppose that $u \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $\left\{X_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ be defined by the SDE

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\sigma\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{t}+\mu\left(t, X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

The unique bounded function $f:[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the partial differential equation

$$
(\mathcal{A} f)(t, x)=\mu(t, x) f^{\prime}(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(t, x) f^{\prime \prime}(t, x)+\dot{f}(t, x)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

subject to the terminal condition

$$
f(T, x)=u(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

is given by

$$
f(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[u\left(X_{T}\right) \mid X_{t}=x\right] .
$$

Example 21.4. We will now use the Feynman-Kac representation theorem to derive the characteristic function for arithmetic Brownian motion satisfying the SDE

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\sigma \mathrm{d} B_{t}+\mu \mathrm{d} t
$$

where $\sigma, \mu$, and $X_{0}=x$ are constants. Let $u(x)=e^{i \theta x}$ so that the Feynman-Kac representation theorem implies

$$
f(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[u\left(X_{T}\right) \mid X_{t}=x\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i \theta X_{T}} \mid X_{t}=x\right]
$$

is the unique bounded solution of the partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu f^{\prime}(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(t, x)+\dot{f}(t, x)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{21.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the terminal condition

$$
f(T, x)=e^{i \theta x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Note that $f(0, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i \theta X_{T}} \mid X_{0}=x\right]=\varphi_{X_{T}}(\theta)$ is the characteristic function of $X_{T}$.
In order to solve (21.3) we use separation of variables. That is, we guess that $f(t, x)$ can be written as a function of $x$ only times a function of $t$ only so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, x)=\chi(x) \tau(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{21.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we find

$$
f^{\prime}(t, x)=\chi^{\prime}(x) \tau(t), \quad f^{\prime \prime}(t, x)=\chi^{\prime \prime}(x) \tau(t), \quad \dot{f}(t, x)=\chi(x) \tau^{\prime}(t)
$$

so that (21.3) implies

$$
\mu \chi^{\prime}(x) \tau(t)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \chi^{\prime \prime}(x) \tau(t)+\chi(x) \tau^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\frac{\mu \chi^{\prime}(x)}{\chi(x)}+\frac{\sigma^{2} \chi^{\prime \prime}(x)}{2 \chi(x)}=-\frac{\tau^{\prime}(t)}{\tau(t)}
$$

Since the left side of this equation which is a function of $x$ only equals the right side which is a function of $t$ only, we conclude that both sides must be constant. For ease, we will write the constant as $-\lambda^{2}$. Thus, we must solve the two ordinary differential equations

$$
\frac{\mu \chi^{\prime}(x)}{\chi(x)}+\frac{\sigma^{2} \chi^{\prime \prime}(x)}{2 \chi(x)}=-\lambda^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{\tau^{\prime}(t)}{\tau(t)}=-\lambda^{2}
$$

The ODE for $\tau$ is easy to solve; clearly $\tau^{\prime}(t)=\lambda^{2} \tau(t)$ implies

$$
\tau(t)=C \exp \left\{\lambda^{2} t\right\}
$$

where $C$ is an arbitrary constant. The ODE for $\chi$ is

$$
\mu \chi^{\prime}(x)+\frac{\sigma^{2} \chi^{\prime \prime}(x)}{2}=-\lambda^{2} \chi(x)
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2} \chi^{\prime \prime}(x)+2 \mu \chi^{\prime}(x)+2 \lambda^{2} \chi(x)=0 . \tag{21.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although this ODE is reasonably straightforward to solve for $\chi$, it turns out that we do not need to actually solve it. This is because of our terminal condition. We know that

$$
f(T, x)=e^{i \theta x}
$$

and we also have assumed that

$$
f(t, x)=\chi(x) \tau(t)
$$

This implies that

$$
f(T, x)=\chi(x) \tau(T)=e^{i \theta x}
$$

which means that

$$
\tau(T)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \chi(x)=e^{i \theta x}
$$

We now realize that we can solve for the arbitrary constant $C$; that is,

$$
\tau(t)=C \exp \left\{\lambda^{2} t\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \tau(T)=1
$$

implies

$$
C=\exp \left\{-\lambda^{2} T\right\} \quad \text { so that } \quad \tau(t)=\exp \left\{-\lambda^{2}(T-t)\right\}
$$

We are also in a position to determine the value of $\lambda^{2}$. That is, we know that $\chi(x)=e^{i \theta x}$ must be a solution to the ODE (21.5). Thus, we simply need to choose $\lambda^{2}$ so that this is true. Since

$$
\chi^{\prime}(x)=i \theta e^{i \theta x} \quad \text { and } \quad \chi^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\theta^{2} e^{i \theta x}
$$

we conclude that

$$
-\sigma^{2} \theta^{2} e^{i \theta x}+2 i \mu \theta e^{i \theta x}+2 \lambda^{2} e^{i \theta x}=0
$$

and so factoring out $e^{i \theta x}$ gives

$$
-\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}+2 i \mu \theta+2 \lambda^{2}=0
$$

Thus,

$$
-\lambda^{2}=i \mu \theta-\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{2}
$$

so that substituting in for $\tau(t)$ gives

$$
\tau(t)=\exp \left\{i \mu(T-t) \theta-\frac{\sigma^{2}(T-t) \theta^{2}}{2}\right\}
$$

and so from (21.4) we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t, x)=\chi(x) \tau(t) & =e^{i \theta x} \exp \left\{i \mu(T-t) \theta-\frac{\sigma^{2}(T-t) \theta^{2}}{2}\right\} \\
& =\exp \left\{i(x+\mu(T-t)) \theta-\frac{\sigma^{2}(T-t) \theta^{2}}{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $t=0$ gives

$$
\varphi_{X_{T}}(\theta)=f(0, x)=\exp \left\{i(x+\mu T) \theta-\frac{\sigma^{2} T \theta^{2}}{2}\right\}
$$

in agreement with Example 21.1.

Example 21.5. We will now use the Feynman-Kac representation theorem to derive the characteristic function for a process satisfying the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck SDE

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\sigma \mathrm{d} B_{t}+a X_{t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

where $\sigma, a$, and $X_{0}=x$ are constants. Let $u(x)=e^{i \theta x}$ so that the Feynman-Kac representation theorem implies

$$
f(t, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[u\left(X_{T}\right) \mid X_{t}=x\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i \theta X_{T}} \mid X_{t}=x\right]
$$

is the unique bounded solution of the partial differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x f^{\prime}(t, x)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(t, x)+\dot{f}(t, x)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{21.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the terminal condition

$$
f(T, x)=e^{i \theta x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Note that $f(0, x)=\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i \theta X_{T}} \mid X_{0}=x\right]=\varphi_{X_{T}}(\theta)$ is the characteristic function of $X_{T}$.
If we try to use separation of variables to solve (21.6), then we soon discover that it does not produce a solution. Thus, we are forced to conclude that the solution $f(t, x)$ is not separable and is necessarily more complicated. Guided by the form of the terminal condition, we guess that $f(t, x)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, x)=\exp \{i \theta \alpha(t) x+\beta(t)\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{21.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some functions $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ of $t$ only satisfying $\alpha(T)=1$ and $\beta(T)=0$. Differentiating we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{\prime}(t, x)=i \theta \alpha(t) \exp \{i \theta \alpha(t) x+\beta(t)\}=i \theta \alpha(t) f(t, x), \\
f^{\prime \prime}(t, x)=-\theta^{2} \alpha^{2}(t) \exp \{i \theta \alpha(t) x+\beta(t)\}=-\theta^{2} \alpha^{2}(t) f(t, x), \quad \text { and } \\
\dot{f}(t, x)=\left[i \theta \alpha^{\prime}(t) x+\beta^{\prime}(t)\right] \exp \{i \theta \alpha(t) x+\beta(t)\}=\left[i \theta \alpha^{\prime}(t) x+\beta^{\prime}(t)\right] f(t, x)
\end{gathered}
$$

so that (21.6) implies

$$
i \theta a x \alpha(t) f(t, x)-\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{2} \alpha^{2}(t) f(t, x)+\left[i \theta \alpha^{\prime}(t) x+\beta^{\prime}(t)\right] f(t, x)=0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Factoring out the common $f(t, x)$ reduces the equation to

$$
i \theta a x \alpha(t)-\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{2} \alpha^{2}(t)+i \theta \alpha^{\prime}(t) x+\beta^{\prime}(t)=0
$$

or equivalently,

$$
i \theta\left[a \alpha(t)+\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right] x+\beta^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{2} \alpha^{2}(t)=0
$$

Since this equation must be true for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the only way that is possible is if the coefficient of $x$ is zero and the constant term is 0 . Thus, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \alpha(t)+\alpha^{\prime}(t)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{2} \alpha^{2}(t)=0 \tag{21.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This first equation in (21.8) involves only $\alpha(t)$ and is easily solved. That is, $\alpha^{\prime}(t)=-a \alpha(t)$ implies $\alpha(t)=C e^{-a t}$ for some arbitrary constant $C$. The terminal condition $\alpha(T)=1$ implies that $C=e^{a T}$ so that

$$
\alpha(t)=e^{a(T-t)} .
$$

Since we have solved for $\alpha(t)$, we can now solve the second equation in (21.8); that is,

$$
\beta^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{2} \alpha^{2}(t)=\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{2} e^{2 a(T-t)}
$$

We simply integrate from 0 to $t$ to find $\beta(t)$ :

$$
\beta(t)-\beta(0)=\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} e^{2 a(T-s)} \mathrm{d} s=\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{4 a}\left(e^{2 a T}-e^{2 a(T-t)}\right) .
$$

The terminal condition $\beta(T)=0$ implies that

$$
\beta(0)=\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{4 a}\left(1-e^{2 a T}\right)
$$

and so

$$
\beta(t)=\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{4 a}\left(1-e^{2 a T}\right)+\frac{\sigma^{2} \theta^{2}}{4 a}\left(e^{2 a T}-e^{2 a(T-t)}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(1-e^{2 a(T-t)}\right) \theta^{2}}{4 a}=-\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(e^{2 a(T-t)}-1\right) \theta^{2}}{4 a} .
$$

Thus, from (21.7) we are now able to conclude that

$$
f(t, x)=\exp \{i \theta \alpha(t) x+\beta(t)\}=\exp \left\{i \theta e^{a(T-t)} x-\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(e^{2 a(T-t)}-1\right) \theta^{2}}{4 a}\right\}
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking $t=0$ gives

$$
\varphi_{X_{T}}(\theta)=f(0, x)=\exp \left\{i \theta e^{a T} x-\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(e^{2 a T}-1\right) \theta^{2}}{4 a}\right\}
$$

in agreement with Example 21.2.

