

## Lecture #26, 27: Solving the Black–Scholes Partial Differential Equation

Our goal for this lecture is to solve the Black-Scholes partial differential equation

$$\dot{V}(t, x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}x^2V''(t, x) + rxV'(t, x) - rV(t, x) = 0 \quad (16.1)$$

for  $V(t, x)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , subject to the boundary condition

$$V(T, x) = (x - E)^+.$$

The first observation is that it suffices to solve (16.1) when  $r = 0$ . That is, if  $W$  satisfies

$$\dot{W}(t, x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}x^2W''(t, x) = 0, \quad (16.2)$$

and  $V(t, x) = e^{r(t-T)}W(t, e^{r(T-t)}x)$ , then  $V(t, x)$  satisfies (16.1) and  $V(T, x) = W(T, x)$ .

This can be checked by differentiation. There is, however, an “obvious” reason why it is true, namely due to the *time value of money* mentioned in Lecture #2. If money invested in a cash deposit grows at continuously compounded interest rate  $r$ , then  $\$x$  at time  $T$  is equivalent to  $\$e^{r(t-T)}x$  at time  $t$ .

**Exercise 16.1.** Verify (using the multivariate chain rule) that if  $W(t, x)$  satisfies (16.2) and  $V(t, x) = e^{r(t-T)}W(t, e^{r(T-t)}x)$ , then  $V(t, x)$  satisfies (16.1) and  $V(T, x) = W(T, x)$ .

Since we have already seen that the Black-Scholes partial differential equation (16.1) does not depend on  $\mu$ , we can assume that  $\mu = 0$ . We have also just shown that it suffices to solve (16.1) when  $r = 0$ . Therefore, we will use  $W$  to denote the Black-Scholes solution in the  $r = 0$  case, i.e., the solution to (16.2), and we will then use  $V$  as the solution in the  $r > 0$  case, i.e., the solution to (16.1), where

$$V(t, x) = e^{r(t-T)}W(t, e^{r(T-t)}x). \quad (16.3)$$

We now note from (15.3) that the SDE for  $W(t, S_t)$  is

$$dW(t, S_t) = \sigma S_t W'(t, S_t) dB_t + \left[ \dot{W}(t, S_t) + \mu S_t W'(t, S_t) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S_t^2 W''(t, S_t) \right] dt.$$

We are assuming that  $\mu = 0$  so that

$$dW(t, S_t) = \sigma S_t W'(t, S_t) dB_t + \left[ \dot{W}(t, S_t) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S_t^2 W''(t, S_t) \right] dt.$$

We are also assuming that  $W(t, x)$  satisfies the Black-Scholes PDE given by (16.2) which is exactly what is needed to make the  $dt$  term equal to 0. Thus, we have reduced the SDE for  $W(t, S_t)$  to

$$dW(t, S_t) = \sigma S_t W'(t, S_t) dB_t.$$

We now have a stochastic differential equation with no  $dt$  term which means, using Theorem 12.6, that  $W(t, S_t)$  is a martingale. Formally, if  $M_t = W(t, S_t)$ , then the stochastic process  $\{M_t, t \geq 0\}$  is a martingale with respect to the Brownian filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ .

Next, we use the fact that martingales have stable expectation at fixed times to conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}(M_0) = \mathbb{E}(M_T).$$

Since we know the value of the European call option at time  $T$  is  $W(T, S_T) = (S_T - E)^+$ , we see that

$$M_T = W(T, S_T) = (S_T - E)^+.$$

Furthermore,  $M_0 = W(0, S_0)$  is non-random (since  $S_0$ , the stock price at time 0, is known), and so we conclude that  $M_0 = \mathbb{E}(M_T)$  which implies

$$W(0, S_0) = \mathbb{E}[(S_T - E)^+]. \quad (16.4)$$

The final step is to actually calculate the expected value in (16.4). Since we are assuming  $\mu = 0$ , the stock price follows geometric Brownian motion  $\{S_t, t \geq 0\}$  where

$$S_t = S_0 \exp \left\{ \sigma B_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} t \right\}.$$

Hence, at time  $T$ , we need to consider the random variable

$$S_T = S_0 \exp \left\{ \sigma B_T - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} T \right\}.$$

We know  $B_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, T)$  so that we can write

$$S_T = S_0 e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}} e^{\sigma \sqrt{T} Z}$$

for  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Thus, we can now use the result of Exercise 3.7, namely if  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $c > 0$  are constants and  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[(ae^{bZ} - c)^+] = ae^{b^2/2} \Phi \left( b + \frac{1}{b} \log \frac{a}{c} \right) - c \Phi \left( \frac{1}{b} \log \frac{a}{c} \right), \quad (16.5)$$

with

$$a = S_0 e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}}, \quad b = \sigma \sqrt{T}, \quad c = E$$

to conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[(S_T - E)^+] \\
&= S_0 e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}} e^{\frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}} \Phi\left(\sigma\sqrt{T} + \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \log \frac{S_0 e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}}}{E}\right) - E \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \log \frac{S_0 e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}}}{E}\right) \\
&= S_0 \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \log \frac{S_0}{E} + \frac{\sigma\sqrt{T}}{2}\right) - E \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \log \frac{S_0}{E} - \frac{\sigma\sqrt{T}}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

To account for the *time value of money*, we can use Exercise 16.1 to give the solution for  $r > 0$ . That is, if  $V(0, S_0)$  denotes the fair price (at time 0) of a European call option with strike price  $E$ , then using (16.3) we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
V(0, S_0) &= e^{-rT} W(0, e^{rT} S_0) \\
&= e^{-rT} e^{rT} S_0 \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \log \frac{e^{rT} S_0}{E} + \frac{\sigma\sqrt{T}}{2}\right) - E e^{-rT} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \log \frac{e^{rT} S_0}{E} - \frac{\sigma\sqrt{T}}{2}\right) \\
&= S_0 \Phi\left(\frac{\log(S_0/E) + (r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right) - E e^{-rT} \Phi\left(\frac{\log(S_0/E) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}\right) \\
&= S_0 \Phi(d_1) - E e^{-rT} \Phi(d_2)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{\log(S_0/E) + (r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 = \frac{\log(S_0/E) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T}.$$

AWESOME!

**Remark.** We have now arrived at equation (8.19) on page 80 of Higham [11]. Note that Higham only *states* the answer; he never actually goes through the solution of the Black-Scholes PDE.

**Summary.** Let's summarize what we did. We assumed that the asset  $S$  followed geometric Brownian motion given by

$$dS_t = \sigma S_t dB_t + \mu S_t dt,$$

and that the risk-free bond  $D$  grew at continuously compounded interest rate  $r$  so that

$$dD(t, S_t) = rD(t, S_t) dt.$$

Using Version IV of Itô's formula on the value of the option  $V(t, S_t)$  combined with the self-financing portfolio implied by the no arbitrage assumption led to the Black-Scholes partial differential equation

$$\dot{V}(t, x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} x^2 V''(t, x) + r x V'(t, x) - r V(t, x) = 0.$$

We also made the important observation that this PDE does not depend on  $\mu$ . We then saw that it was sufficient to consider  $r = 0$  since we noted that if  $W(t, x)$  solved the resulting PDE

$$\dot{W}(t, x) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} x^2 W''(t, x) = 0,$$

then  $V(t, x) = e^{r(t-T)} W(t, e^{r(T-t)} x)$  solved the Black-Scholes PDE for  $r > 0$ . We then assumed that  $\mu = 0$  and we found the SDE for  $W(t, S_t)$  which had only a  $dB_t$  term (and no  $dt$  term). Using the fact that Itô integrals are martingales implied that  $\{W(t, S_t), t \geq 0\}$  was a martingale, and so the stable expectation property of martingales led to the equation

$$W(0, S_0) = \mathbb{E}(W(T, S_T)).$$

Since we knew that  $V(T, S_T) = W(T, S_T) = (S_T - E)^+$  for a European call option, we could compute the resulting expectation. We then translated back to the  $r > 0$  case via

$$V(0, S_0) = e^{-rT} W(0, e^{rT} S_0).$$

This previous observation is extremely important since it tells us precisely how to price European call options with different payoffs. In general, if the payoff function at time  $T$  is  $\Lambda(x)$  so that

$$V(T, x) = W(T, x) = \Lambda(x),$$

then, since  $\{W(t, S_t), t \geq 0\}$  is a martingale,

$$W(0, S_0) = \mathbb{E}(W(T, S_T)) = \mathbb{E}(\Lambda(S_T)).$$

By assuming that  $\mu = 0$ , we can write  $S_T$  as

$$S_T = S_0 \exp \left\{ \sigma B_T - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} T \right\} = S_0 e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 T}{2}} e^{\sigma \sqrt{T} Z}$$

with  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Therefore, if  $\Lambda$  is sufficiently nice, then  $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda(S_T))$  can be calculated explicitly, and we can use

$$V(0, S_0) = e^{-rT} W(0, e^{rT} S_0)$$

to determine the required fair price to pay at time 0.

In particular, we can follow this strategy to answer the following question posed at the end of Lecture #1.

**Example 16.2.** In the Black-Scholes world, price a European option with a payoff of  $\max\{S_T^2 - K, 0\}$  at time  $T$ .

**Solution.** The required time 0 price is  $V(0, S_0) = e^{-rT} W(0, e^{rT} S_0)$  where  $W(0, S_0) = \mathbb{E}[(S_T^2 - K)^+]$ . Since we can write

$$S_T^2 = S_0^2 e^{-\sigma^2 T} e^{2\sigma \sqrt{T} Z}$$

with  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , we can use (16.5) with

$$a = S_0^2 e^{-\sigma^2 T}, \quad b = 2\sigma \sqrt{T}, \quad c = K$$

to conclude

$$V(0, S_0) = S_0^2 e^{(\sigma^2 + r)T} \Phi \left( \frac{\log(S_0^2/K) + (2r + 3\sigma^2)T}{2\sigma \sqrt{T}} \right) - K e^{-rT} \Phi \left( \frac{\log(S_0^2/K) + (2r - \sigma^2)T}{2\sigma \sqrt{T}} \right).$$