

(4.19) (a) Observe that we can reformulate the linear regression model in matrix notation as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

where  $\beta$  is a (one-dimensional) parameter,

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{12} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{12} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{12} \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  satisfies  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $V(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sigma^2 V$  with

$$V = \text{diag}(x_1^2, \dots, x_{12}^2) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{12}^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We compute

$$\mathbf{X}'V^{-1} = [x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_{12}] \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{-2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{12}^{-2} \end{bmatrix} = [x_1^{-1} \quad \cdots \quad x_{12}^{-1}]$$

and

$$\mathbf{X}'V^{-1}\mathbf{X} = [x_1^{-1} \quad \cdots \quad x_{12}^{-1}] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{12} \end{bmatrix} = 12$$

so that from equations (4.58) and (4.59) we conclude that the generalized least squares estimator is

$$\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}} = (\mathbf{X}'V^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'V^{-1}\mathbf{y} = \frac{1}{12} [x_1^{-1} \quad \cdots \quad x_{12}^{-1}] \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{12} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{12} \frac{y_i}{x_i}$$

and has variance

$$V(\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}}) = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}'V^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{12}.$$

(b) From the given data, we obtain

$$\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}} = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{12} \frac{y_i}{x_i} = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^{12} z_i = \frac{30}{12}$$

and

$$V(\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{12}.$$

(4.20) (a) Observe that we can reformulate the linear regression model in matrix notation as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$$

where  $\beta$  is a (one-dimensional) parameter,

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{10} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{10} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{10} \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  satisfies  $\mathbb{E}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $V(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \sigma^2 V$  with

$$V = \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_{10}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{10} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We compute

$$\mathbf{X}'V^{-1} = [x_1 \quad \cdots \quad x_{10}] \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_{10}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = [1 \quad \cdots \quad 1]$$

and

$$\mathbf{X}'V^{-1}\mathbf{X} = [1 \quad \cdots \quad 1] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{10} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i$$

so that from equations (4.58) and (4.59) we conclude that the generalized least squares estimator is

$$\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}} = (\mathbf{X}'V^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'V^{-1}\mathbf{y} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{10} x_i \right)^{-1} [1 \quad \cdots \quad 1] \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{10} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\sum y_i}{\sum x_i}$$

and has variance

$$V(\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}}) = \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'V^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum x_i}.$$

(b) From the given data, we obtain

$$\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}} = \frac{\sum y_i}{\sum x_i} = \frac{10 \cdot \bar{y}}{10 \cdot \bar{x}} = \frac{30}{15} = 2$$

and

$$V(\hat{\beta}^{\text{GLS}}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum x_i} = \frac{\sigma^2}{10 \cdot \bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma^2}{150}.$$

(Note that the textbook has an error in the solution.)

(5.4) From equation (5.29), we know

$$\text{VIF}_j = \frac{1}{1 - R_j^2}$$

where  $R_j^2$  is the coefficient of determination from the regression of  $x_j$  on all other regressors. Hence,

$$\text{VIF}_1 = \frac{1}{1 - R_1^2} = \frac{1}{1 - 0.6} = \frac{1}{0.4} = \frac{5}{2} = 2.5,$$

$$\text{VIF}_2 = \frac{1}{1 - R_2^2} = \frac{1}{1 - 0.8} = \frac{1}{0.2} = \frac{10}{2} = 5,$$

$$\text{VIF}_3 = \frac{1}{1 - R_3^2} = \frac{1}{1 - 0.9} = \frac{1}{0.1} = \frac{10}{1} = 10.$$

(5.5) The correct answer is (e), namely high  $R^2$  and mostly insignificant  $t$  ratios suggest the presence of a multicollinearity problem.

(5.14) (a) We know the distribution of the least squares estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  is

$$\hat{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\beta, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}).$$

We showed in class that the fact that  $\mathbf{X}$  is orthogonal implies  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$  is diagonal. (And therefore  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$  is diagonal as well.) This implies that the components of  $\hat{\beta}$  are uncorrelated, and since  $\hat{\beta}$  has a multivariate normal distribution, we deduce that its components must be independent. Hence,  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_j$  are independent as required.

(b) Form the augmented matrix  $\mathbf{Z} = [\mathbf{X} \ \mathbf{z}]$  and the augmented parameter  $\gamma = [\beta \ \gamma]'$  so that we can express the expanded model in matrix notation as  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Z}\gamma + \epsilon$ . The least squares estimate for the expanded model is  $\hat{\gamma} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y}$ . Now the form of  $\mathbf{Z}$ , along with the fact that  $\mathbf{z}$  is orthogonal to the columns of  $\mathbf{X}$ , implies that

$$\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z} = [\mathbf{X} \ \mathbf{z}]' [\mathbf{X} \ \mathbf{z}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{z}' \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{X} \ \mathbf{z}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{z}'\mathbf{z} \end{bmatrix}$$

so that

$$(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{z})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y} &= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{z})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{z}' \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}' & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{z})^{-1}\mathbf{z}' \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} \\ (\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{z})^{-1}\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{\gamma} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} \\ (\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{z})^{-1}\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

implying that  $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$  as required.

(c) Write the design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  as an augmented matrix  $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{X}_c]$  where  $\mathbf{X}_c$  is an  $(n \times p)$  matrix with the property that each column has mean 0. We then compute

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{X}_c]' [\mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{X}_c] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}' \\ \mathbf{X}_c' \end{bmatrix} [\mathbf{1} \ \mathbf{X}_c] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}'\mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1}'\mathbf{X}_c \\ \mathbf{X}_c'\mathbf{1}' & \mathbf{X}_c'\mathbf{X}_c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X}_c'\mathbf{X}_c \end{bmatrix}$$

using the fact that each column of  $\mathbf{X}_c$  has mean 0. Therefore,

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (\mathbf{X}'_c\mathbf{X}_c)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and so

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/n & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & (\mathbf{X}'_c\mathbf{X}_c)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}' \\ \mathbf{X}'_c \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum y_i/n \\ (\mathbf{X}'_c\mathbf{X}_c)^{-1}\mathbf{X}'_c\mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

implying that

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum y_i = \bar{y}$$

as required.

**(5.16) (a)** Since the possible values of  $z$  are 0 or 1, the parameter  $\beta_3$  represents the change in the yield of the chemical reaction ( $y$ ) due to the second catalyst at a fixed temperature level ( $x$ ). Note that if  $\beta_3 > 0$ , then this would imply that the yield increases due to the second catalyst, while  $\beta_3 < 0$  implies that the yield decreases due to the second catalyst.

**(b)** From the data given, we find  $\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.41$  and  $\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_2) = 0.11$ . Therefore, a 95% confidence interval for  $\beta_2$  is

$$\hat{\beta}_2 \pm t(0.025; 26)\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_2) = 0.41 \pm (2.056)(0.11) = [0.184, 0.636].$$

Note that the degrees of freedom are  $df = n - p - 1 = 30 - 3 - 1 = 26$ .

**(c) (i)** Since the vector of errors  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I)$ , we conclude that the vector of least squares estimates  $[\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \hat{\beta}_3]'$  has a multivariate normal distribution. Hence, any uncorrelated components are necessarily independent. Thus, since  $\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_3) = 0$ , we conclude that  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_3$  are independent.

**(c) (ii)** When the standard temperature ( $x = 0$ ) and catalyst 2 ( $z = 1$ ) are used, the expected yield is

$$\hat{\mu} = \mathbb{E}(y) = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_3 = 29.83 - 0.32 = 29.51.$$

Since the residual sum of squares is  $\text{SSE} = 25.05$ , we conclude that

$$s^2 = \frac{\text{SSE}}{n - p - 1} = \frac{25.05}{26} \doteq 0.96.$$

Thus, a 95% confidence interval for  $\hat{\mu}$  is

$$\hat{\mu} \pm t(0.025; 26)s = 29.51 \pm (2.056)\sqrt{0.96} = [27.495, 31.525].$$

Note that the degrees of freedom are  $df = n - p - 1 = 30 - 3 - 1 = 26$ .