

Lecture #28: Laurent Series

Recall from Lecture #27 that we considered the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1 + 2z}{z^2 + z^3}$$

and we formally manipulated $f(z)$ to obtain the infinite expansion

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z} - 1 + z - z^2 + \dots$$

Observe that $f(z)$ is analytic in the annulus $0 < |z| < 1$. Does

$$\frac{1 + 2z}{z^2 + z^3} = \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z} - 1 + z - z^2 + \dots$$

for all $0 < |z| < 1$? The answer turns out to be yes. Thus, our goal for today is to prove that if a function $f(z)$ is analytic in an annulus, then it has an infinite series expansion which converges for all z in the annulus. This expansion is known as the *Laurent series for $f(z)$* .

Theorem 28.1. *Suppose that $f(z)$ is analytic in the annulus $r < |z - z_0| < R$ (with $r = 0$ and $R = \infty$ allowed). Then $f(z)$ can be represented as*

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j (z - z_0)^j + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{-j} (z - z_0)^{-j} \quad (*)$$

where

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_0)^{j+1}} d\zeta, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

and C is any closed contour oriented counterclockwise that surrounds z_0 and lies entirely in the annulus.

The proof is very similar to the proof of Theorem 26.1 for the Taylor series representation of an analytic function in a disk $|z - z_0| < R$. We will not include the full proof, but instead give an indication of where the formula for a_j comes from. Suppose that $f(z)$ can be represented as

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$

with convergence in the annulus $r < |z - z_0| < R$. Observe that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} f(z) (z - z_0)^{-j} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{2\pi i} (z - z_0)^{k-j}$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^j} dz = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^{j-k}} dz$$

where C is any closed contour oriented counterclockwise that surrounds z_0 and lies entirely in the annulus. We now observe from Theorem 23.2 that

$$\int_C \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^{j-k}} dz = 2\pi i \quad \text{if } k = j - 1$$

and

$$\int_C \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^{j-k}} dz = 0 \quad \text{if } k \neq j - 1$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^j} dz = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^{j-k}} dz = a_{j-1}.$$

In other words,

$$a_{j-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^j} dz \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad a_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^{j+1}} dz.$$

Remark. Observe that

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_0)^{j+1}} d\zeta$$

so, at least for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, it might be tempting to use the Cauchy Integral Formula (Theorem 25.4) to try and conclude that a_j is equal to

$$\frac{f^{(j)}(z_0)}{j!}$$

as was the case in the Taylor series derivation. This is not true, however, since the assumption on $f(z)$ is that it is analytic in the annulus $r < |z - z_0| < R$. This means that if C is a closed contour oriented counterclockwise lying in the annulus and surrounding z_0 , there is no guarantee that $f(z)$ is analytic everywhere inside C which is the assumption required in order to apply the Cauchy Integral Formula. Thus, although there is a seemingly simple formula for the coefficients a_j in the Laurent series expansion, the computation of a_j as a contour integral is not necessarily a straightforward application of the Cauchy Integral Formula.

Example 28.2. Suppose that

$$f(z) = \frac{1 + 2z}{z^2 + z^3}$$

which is analytic for $0 < |z| < 1$. Show that the Laurent series expansion of $f(z)$ for $0 < |z| < 1$ is

$$\frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z} - 1 + z - z^2 + \dots$$

Solution. Suppose that C is any closed contour oriented counterclockwise lying entirely in $\{0 < |z| < 1\}$ and surrounding $z_0 = 0$. Consider

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z_0)^{j+1}} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{1 + 2\zeta}{\zeta^2 + \zeta^3} \cdot \frac{1}{\zeta^{j+1}} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{(1 + 2\zeta)/(1 + \zeta)}{\zeta^{j+3}} d\zeta.$$

The reason for writing it in this form is that now we can apply the Cauchy Integral Formula to compute

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{(1 + 2\zeta)/(1 + \zeta)}{\zeta^{j+3}} d\zeta.$$

Observe that the function

$$g(z) = \frac{1 + 2z}{1 + z}$$

is analytic inside and on C . Thus, the Cauchy Integral Theorem implies that if $j \leq -3$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{(1 + 2\zeta)/(1 + \zeta)}{\zeta^{j+3}} d\zeta = 0$$

so that $a_{-3} = a_{-4} = \dots = 0$. The Cauchy Integral Formula implies that if $j \geq -2$, then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{(1 + 2\zeta)/(1 + \zeta)}{\zeta^{j+3}} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{g(\zeta)}{\zeta^{j+3}} d\zeta = \frac{g^{(j+2)}(0)}{(j+2)!}.$$

Note that if $j = -2$, then $a_{-2} = g(0) = 1$. In order to compute successive derivatives of $g(z)$, observe that

$$g(z) = \frac{1 + 2z}{1 + z} = \frac{1}{1 + z} + \frac{2z}{1 + z}$$

Now, if $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then

$$\frac{d^k}{dz^k} \left(\frac{1}{1 + z} \right) = (-1)^k \frac{k!}{(1 + z)^{k+1}}$$

and

$$\frac{d^k}{dz^k} \left(\frac{z}{1 + z} \right) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{k!}{(1 + z)^k} + (-1)^k \frac{k!z}{(1 + z)^{k+1}}$$

so that

$$g^{(k)}(0) = (-1)^k k! + 2(-1)^{k+1} k! = (-1)^{k+1} k! \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

This implies

$$a_j = \frac{g^{(j+2)}(0)}{(j+2)!} = \frac{(-1)^{j+3} (j+2)!}{(j+2)!} = (-1)^{j+3} = (-1)^{j+1} \quad \text{for } j = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

so that the Laurent series expansion of $f(z)$ for $0 < |z| < 1$ is

$$\frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z} - 1 + z - z^2 + \dots = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} z^j.$$

Remark. We will soon learn other methods for determining Laurent series expansions that do not require the computation of contour integrals.