

1. We find that $f_0(y) = e^{-y}$ for $y > 0$ and $f_A(y) = 2e^{-2y}$ for $y > 0$. Therefore, the likelihood ratio is

$$\Lambda(y) = \frac{f_0(y)}{f_A(y)} = \frac{e^{-y}}{2e^{-2y}} = \frac{1}{2}e^y$$

for $y > 0$, and so the rejection region is

$$RR = \{\Lambda(Y) < c\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}e^Y < c \right\} = \{Y < \log(2c)\} = \{Y < c'\}$$

where $c' = \log(2c)$ is another constant. We now choose c (or, equivalently, c') so that this test has the desired significance level. Since

$$\alpha = P_{H_0}(\text{reject } H_0) = P_{\theta=1}(Y < c') = \int_0^{c'} f_0(y) dy = \int_0^{c'} e^{-y} dy = 1 - e^{-c'}$$

we conclude that $c' = -\log(1 - \alpha)$ and so $RR = \{Y < -\log(1 - \alpha)\}$.

2. (a) Recall that the generalized likelihood ratio test for the simple null hypothesis $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ against the composite alternative hypothesis $H_A : \theta \neq \theta_0$ has rejection region $\{\Lambda < c\}$ where

$$\Lambda = \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}})}$$

is the generalized likelihood ratio and $L(\theta)$ is the likelihood function. In this instance,

$$L(\theta) = \theta^n \exp \left\{ -\theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \frac{\theta_0^n \exp \left\{ -\theta_0 \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\}}{\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}^n \exp \left\{ -\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\}} = \left(\frac{\theta_0}{1/\bar{Y}} \right)^n \exp \left\{ -\theta_0 \sum y_i + 1/\bar{Y} \cdot \sum y_i \right\} \\ &= (\theta_0 \bar{Y})^n \exp \{n - n\theta_0 \bar{Y}\} = e^n \theta_0^n \bar{Y}^n \exp \{-n\theta_0 \bar{Y}\} = e^n \theta_0^n [\bar{Y} \exp \{-\theta_0 \bar{Y}\}]^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we see that the rejection region $\{\Lambda < c\}$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \{e^n \theta_0^n [\bar{Y} \exp \{-\theta_0 \bar{Y}\}]^n < c\} &= \{\bar{Y} \exp \{-\theta_0 \bar{Y}\} < c^{1/n} e^{-1} \theta_0^{-1}\} \\ &= \{\bar{Y} \exp \{-\theta_0 \bar{Y}\} < C\}. \end{aligned}$$

(To be explicit, the *suitable constant* is $C = c^{1/n} e^{-1} \theta_0^{-1}$.)

2. (b) We saw in class that $-2 \log \Lambda \sim \chi^2(1)$ (approximately). This means that the generalized likelihood ratio test rejection region is $\{\Lambda < c\} = \{-2 \log \Lambda > K\}$ where K is (yet another) constant. As we found above,

$$\Lambda = e^n \theta_0^n [\bar{Y} \exp \{-\theta_0 \bar{Y}\}]^n$$

so that

$$-2 \log \Lambda = -2n - 2n \log \theta_0 - 2n \log \bar{Y} + 2n\theta_0 \bar{Y}.$$

Hence, to conduct the GLRT, we need to compare the observed value of $-2 \log \Lambda$ with the appropriate chi-squared critical value which is $\chi_{0.10,1}^2 = 2.70554$. Since

$$-2 \cdot 10 - 2 \cdot 10 \log 1 - 2 \cdot 10 \cdot \log 1.25 + 2 \cdot 10 \cdot 1 \cdot 1.25 \approx 2.76856$$

is the observed value of $-2 \log \Lambda$, we reject H_0 at significance level 0.10. (Note, however, that since $\chi_{0.05,1}^2 = 3.84146$, we fail to reject H_0 at significance level 0.05.)

3. (a) Recall that the generalized likelihood ratio test for the simple null hypothesis $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ against the composite alternative hypothesis $H_A : \theta \neq \theta_0$ has rejection region $\{\Lambda < c\}$ where

$$\Lambda = \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}})}$$

is the generalized likelihood ratio and $L(\theta)$ is the likelihood function. In this instance,

$$L(\theta) = \theta^{2n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \exp \left\{ -\theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \frac{\theta_0^{2n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \exp \left\{ -\theta_0 \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\}}{\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}^{2n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \exp \left\{ -\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\}} = \left(\frac{1}{2/\bar{Y}} \right)^{2n} \exp \left\{ -\sum y_i + 2/\bar{Y} \cdot \sum y_i \right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{\bar{Y}}{2} \right)^{2n} \exp \{2n - n\bar{Y}\}. \end{aligned}$$

3. (b) We saw in class that $-2 \log \Lambda \sim \chi^2(1)$ (approximately). This means that the generalized likelihood ratio test rejection region is $\{\Lambda < c\} = \{-2 \log \Lambda > K\}$ where $K = -2 \log c$ is (yet another) constant. (In fact, $K = \chi_{\alpha,1}^2$.) As we found above,

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{\bar{Y}}{2} \right)^{2n} \exp \{2n - n\bar{Y}\}$$

so that

$$-2 \log \Lambda = -4n \log \bar{Y} + 4n \log 2 - 4n + 2n\bar{Y}.$$

Hence, to conduct the GLRT, we need to compare the observed value of $-2 \log \Lambda$ with the appropriate chi-squared critical value which is $\chi_{0.05,1}^2 = 3.84146$. Since

$$-4 \cdot 5 \cdot \log 1 + 4 \cdot 5 \cdot \log 2 - 4 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 1 \approx 3.8629$$

is the observed value of $-2 \log \Lambda$, we reject H_0 at significance level 0.05 (but just barely).