

# Another 3-Part Sperner Theorem

Karen Meagher

Department of Combinatorics and Optimization  
University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada  
kmeagher@math.uwaterloo.ca

Submitted: ?? ; Accepted: ?? ;

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05D05

## Abstract

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we prove a higher order Sperner theorem. These theorems are stated after some notation and background results are introduced.

For  $i, j$  positive integers with  $i \leq j$ , let  $[i, j]$  denote the set  $\{i, i + 1, \dots, j\}$ . For  $k, n$  positive integers, set  $\binom{[n]}{k} = \{A \subseteq [1, n] : |A| = k\}$ . A system  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $[1, n]$  is said to be  $k$ -set system if  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ .

Two subsets  $A, B$  are *incomparable* if  $A \not\subseteq B$  and  $B \not\subseteq A$ . A set system on an  $n$ -set  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a *Sperner set system*, if any two distinct sets in  $\mathcal{A}$  are incomparable.

Sperner's Theorem is concerned with the maximal cardinality of Sperner set systems as well as with the structure of such maximal systems.

**Theorem (Sperner's Theorem [10]).** *A Sperner set system  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $[1, n]$  consists of at most  $\binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  sets. Moreover, a Sperner set system meets this bound if and only if  $\mathcal{A} = \binom{[n]}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  or  $\mathcal{A} = \binom{[n]}{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$ .*

One application of Sperner's theorem is to give the exactly size of strength-2 binary covering arrays [?, kietman, katona]

A *covering array*, denoted  $CA(n, r, k)$ , is an  $r \times n$  array with entries from  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  with the property that for any two rows of the array that each of  $k^2$  pairs from  $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \mathbb{Z}_k$  occurs in some column. These are also known as strength-2 covering arrays. If  $k = 2$  a  $CA(n, r, k)$  is a *binary covering array*. The rows of a  $r \times n$  binary covering array are length- $n$  01-vectors and as such correspond to a subset of an  $n$ -set. The cover property for binary covering arrays can be characterized in terms of these sets.

**Definition 1 (*Qualitatively Independent Subsets*).** Two subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of an  $n$ -set are *qualitatively independent subsets* if

$$A \cap B \neq \emptyset, \quad A \cap \bar{B} \neq \emptyset, \quad \bar{A} \cap B \neq \emptyset, \quad \bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \neq \emptyset.$$

A strength-2 binary covering array corresponds to a set system in which any two sets are qualitatively independent. A set system  $\mathcal{A}$  in which any two  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  have the property that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  is called an *intersecting set system*. An intersecting Sperner  $k$ -set system with  $2k \leq n$  is a qualitatively independent set system.

This leads to the following result, found independently by Katona and by Kleitman and Spencer.

**Theorem 2** ([?, ?]). *If  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k\}$  is a qualitatively independent set system of an  $n$ -set, then*

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1}.$$

*Further, this bound is attained by the system of all  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -sets which contain a common element.*

This theorem gives the exact size of the optimal binary covering array with  $r$  rows can be found for all  $r$ .

**Theorem 3** ([?]). *Let  $r$  be a positive integer, then*

$$CAN(r, 2) = \min \left\{ n : \binom{n-1}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1} \geq r \right\}.$$

Both the proof of Theorem ?? given by Katona and by Kleitman and Spencer used the well-known Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem.

**Theorem (Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem [?]).** *Let  $k$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $2k < n$ . Then for any intersecting  $k$ -set system on an  $n$ -set  $\mathcal{A}$ ,*

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

*Moreover, equality holds if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  is the collection of all  $k$ -sets containing some fixed element.*

A strength- $t$  binary covering array, denoted  $t\text{-CA}(n, r, k)$ , is an  $r \times n$  array with entries from  $\mathbb{Z}_k$  with the property that for any set of  $t$  rows in the array, each of  $k^t$   $t$ -tuples from  $\mathbb{Z}_k \times \mathbb{Z}_k$  occurs in some column. The rows of a binary (that is,  $k = 2$ ) strength- $t$  covering array correspond to a set system. Again, we can characterise the covering property in terms of the set system.

**Definition 4 (*t-Qualitatively Independent Set System*).** A set system  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *t-qualitatively independent set system* if for any collection of  $t$  distinct sets  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t\}$  with  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  or  $\overline{A_i} \in \mathcal{A}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$  and  $A_i \neq \overline{A_j}$  for  $i, j \in [1, \dots, t]$

$$A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_t \neq \emptyset$$

We wish to find a higher strength version of Sperner's theorem with the goal of extending Katona's and Kleitman and Spencer's exact bound on strength-2 binary covering arrays to higher strength binary covering arrays.

It is trivial to give a higher order version the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem. We say that a set system  $\mathcal{A}$  is *strength-t intersecting* if for any  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_t \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^t A_i \neq \emptyset$ . If we remove the uniform condition on the set systems, these are also known as *r-wise t-intersecting systems*. Clearly, for  $t \geq 2$  a strength- $t$  intersecting set system is intersecting and Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem holds for strength- $t$  intersecting set systems.

Extending Sperner's Theorem is more difficult. We will focus on a strength-3 version of this theorem.

**Definition 5 (*Strength-3 Sperner Set System*).** A set system  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *strength-3 Sperner set system* if for any three distinct sets  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$  the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} A \not\subseteq B \cup C \quad B \not\subseteq A \cup C \quad C \not\subseteq A \cup B \\ B \cap C \not\subseteq A \quad A \cap C \not\subseteq B \quad A \cap B \not\subseteq C \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, any strength-3 Sperner set system is also a Sperner set system.

The property  $B \cap C \not\subseteq A$  implies that for  $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B \cap C \neq \emptyset$ . So any strength-3 Sperner set system is also an intersecting set system.

Further, the property  $A \not\subseteq B \cup C$  implies  $A \cap \overline{B} \cap \overline{C} \neq \emptyset$  and  $B \cap C \not\subseteq A$  implies that  $\overline{A} \cap B \cap C \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a strength-3 Sperner set system then  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  is also a strength-3 set system.

**Lemma 6.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a strength-3 Sperner set system, then the set systems*

$$\mathcal{A}_\cap = \{A \cap B : A, B \in \mathcal{A}, A \neq B\}$$

*and*

$$\mathcal{A}_\cup = \{A \cup B : A, B \in \mathcal{A}, A \neq B\}$$

*are Sperner set systems.*

*Proof.* Let  $A, B, C, D \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\{A, B\} \neq \{C, D\}$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $A \neq C$  and  $A \neq D$ . If  $A \cup B \subseteq C \cup D$  then  $A \subset C \cup D$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is not a strength-3 Sperner set system. Similarly, if  $A \cap B \subseteq C \cap D$ , then  $A \cap B \subseteq C$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a 3-qualitatively independent set system, then*

$$\{A, \overline{A} : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

*is a strength-3 Sperner set system.*

**Theorem 8.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a strength-3 Sperner set system on an  $n$ -set then*

$$\binom{|\mathcal{A}|}{2} \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$$

*Proof.* The sets  $A \cap B$  are unique. If  $A \cap B = C \cap D$  then  $A \cap B \subseteq C$ . Lemma 6 and Sperner's theorem.  $\square$

\* is this right? \*

All logarithms are base 2.

**Theorem 9.** *[?]*  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{CAN(3,r,k)}{\log r} = \binom{k}{2}$ .

\* check this!! \*

From the bound in Theorem 8  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\log r} \leq \frac{n}{(n+1) \log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \log n}$ . As  $n$  goes to infinity this limit goes to 1, as predicted from the previous theorem.

**Conjecture.** *The largest strength-3 Sperner set system is an  $\frac{n}{2}$ -set system.*

**Conjecture.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a largest strength-3 Sperner set system, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has the property that for all distinct  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $|A \cap B| = n/4$ .*

**Theorem 10.** *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a strength-3 Sperner set system on an  $n$ -set then*

$$\binom{|\mathcal{A}|}{2} \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 2}$$

*Proof.* Lemma 6 and Sperner's theorem. Also that  $|A \cap B| \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 2$ .  $\square$

There have been extensions of Sperner's Theorem to systems of families of sets [2] and to systems of subsets of a set  $X$  with a 2-partition  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  such that no two subsets  $A, B$  in the system satisfy both  $A \cap X_i = B \cap X_i$  and  $A \cap \overline{X_i} \subseteq B \cap \overline{X_i}$  where  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  [3, 4, 5]. Our notion of a Sperner partition system is quite different; our result extends Sperner's Theorem from sets to set-partitions. A related extension of the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem to set partitions is found in [8].

Bollobás [1] gives a generalization of the LYM Inequality to two families of sets. For positive integers  $n, m$  let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i, B_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$  be a set system of subsets from  $[1, n]$  with the property that  $A_i \cap B_i \neq \emptyset$  and  $A_i \not\subseteq A_j \cup B_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^m \binom{n-|B_i|}{|A_i|} \leq 1$ . This result implies both Sperner's Theorem and the LYM Inequality but does not generalize to three families of sets.

## References

- [1] B. Bollobás. On generalized graphs. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 16:447–452, 1965.
- [2] D. E. Daykin, P. Frankl, C. Greene, and A. J. W. Hilton. A generalization of Sperner's theorem. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 31(4):481–485, 1981.

- [3] P. L. Erdős and G. O. H. Katona. A 3-part Sperner theorem. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 22(1-4):383–393, 1987.
- [4] G. Katona. On a conjecture of Erdős and a stronger form of Sperner’s theorem. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 1:59–63, 1966.
- [5] D. J. Kleitman. On a lemma of Littlewood and Offord on the distribution of certain sums. *Math. Z.*, 90:251–259, 1965.
- [6] D. J. Kleitman and E. C. Milner. On the average size of the sets in a Sperner family. *Discrete Math.*, 6:141–147, 1973.
- [7] D. Lubell. A short proof of Sperner’s lemma. *J. Combinatorial Theory*, 1:299, 1966.
- [8] K. Meagher and L. Moura. Erdős-Ko-Rado theorems for uniform set-partition systems. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 12(1):Research Paper 40, 12 pp. (electronic), 2005.
- [9] L. D. Mešalkin. A generalization of Sperner’s theorem on the number of subsets of a finite set. *Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen*, 8:219–220, 1963.
- [10] E. Sperner. Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge. *Math. Z.*, 27:544–548, 1928.