Math 416 - Abstract Linear Algebra Fall 2011, section E1 Orthogonal matrices and rotations

1 Planar rotations

Definition: A planar rotation in \mathbb{R}^n is a linear map $R: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that there is a plane $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ (through the origin) satisfying

 $R(P) \subseteq P$ and $R|_P =$ some rotation of P $R(P^{\perp}) \subseteq P^{\perp}$ and $R|_{P^{\perp}} = \mathrm{id}_{P^{\perp}}$.

In other words, R rotates the plane P and leaves every vector of P^{\perp} where it is.

Example: The transformation $R: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with (standard) matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

is a planar rotation in the yz-plane of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Proposition 1: A planar rotation is an orthogonal transformation.

Proof: It suffices to check that $R \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ preserves lengths. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, consider the unique decomposition x = p + w with $p \in P$ and $w \in P^{\perp}$. Then we have

$$|Rx||^{2} = ||Rp + Rw||^{2} \text{ since } R \text{ is linear}$$

$$= ||Rp + w||^{2} \text{ since } R \text{ is the identity on } P^{\perp}$$

$$= ||Rp||^{2} + ||w||^{2} \text{ since } Rp \perp w$$

$$= ||p||^{2} + ||w||^{2} \text{ since } R|_{P} \text{ is a rotation in } P$$

$$= ||p + w||^{2} \text{ since } p \perp w$$

$$= ||x||^{2}. \blacksquare$$

Proposition 2: A linear map $R: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a planar rotation if and only if there is an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n in which the matrix of R is

$\int \cos \theta$	θ	$-\sin\theta$	0
sin	θ	$\cos heta$	
0			Ι
L			

Proof: (\Leftarrow) If there is such an orthonormal basis, then consider the plane $P := \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\}$. We have $R(P) \subseteq P$ because the lower-left block is 0, and $R|_P$ is a rotation of P, because of the top-left block.

Moreover R satisfies $Rv_i = v_i$ for $i \ge 3$ so that R is the identity on $\text{Span}\{v_3, \ldots, v_n\} = P^{\perp}$. The last equality holds because the basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is orthogonal.

 (\Rightarrow) Assume R is a planar rotation in a plane P. Let $\{v_1, v_2\}$ be an orthonormal basis of P. Then we have

$$Pv_1 = (\cos\theta)v_1 + (\sin\theta)v_2, Pv_2 = (-\sin\theta)v_1 + (\cos\theta)v_2$$

for some angle θ .

Complete $\{v_1, v_2\}$ to an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n . Because v_3, \ldots, v_n are in P^{\perp} , we have $Rv_i = v_i$ for $i \geq 3$. Therefore R has matrix (1) in the basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$.

2 Orthogonal matrices as rotations and reflections

The main theorems of section $\S6.5$ are the following.

Theorem 5.1. Let $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an orthogonal operator with det A = 1. Then there is an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n in which the matrix of A is the block diagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{\theta_1} & & & \\ & R_{\theta_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & R_{\theta_k} & \\ & & & & I_{n-2k} \end{bmatrix}$$

where R_{θ_i} is the 2-dimensional rotation

$$R_{\theta_j} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_j & -\sin \theta_j \\ \sin \theta_j & \cos \theta_j \end{bmatrix}$$

and I_{n-2k} denotes the $(n-2k) \times (n-2k)$ identity matrix.

Theorem 5.2. Let $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an orthogonal operator with det A = -1. Then there is an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n in which the matrix of A is the block diagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{\theta_1} & & & & \\ & R_{\theta_2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & R_{\theta_k} & & \\ & & & I_{n-2k-1} & \\ & & & & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The theorems have the following geometric interpretation.

Corollary of 5.1: If $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is orthogonal with det A = 1, then A is a product of at most $\frac{n}{2}$ commuting planar rotations.

Proof: Let Q be an orthogonal matrix satisfying $A = QBQ^{-1} = QBQ^T$ with

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} R_{\theta_1} & & & \\ & R_{\theta_2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & R_{\theta_k} & \\ & & & & I_{n-2k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The columns of Q are the basis given by Thm 5.1. We can express B as the product

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} R_{\theta_1} & & & \\ & I_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & I_2 & \\ & & & & I_{n-2k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & & & & \\ & R_{\theta_2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & I_2 & \\ & & & & I_{n-2k} \end{bmatrix} \dots \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & & & & \\ & I_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & R_{\theta_k} & \\ & & & & & I_{n-2k} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$=: B_1 B_2 \dots B_k$$

from which we obtain the factorization

$$A = QBQ^{-1}$$

= $(QB_1Q^{-1})(QB_2Q^{-1})\dots(QB_kQ^{-1})$
= $A_1A_2\dots A_k$

in which each A_i is a planar rotation, by Prop. 2. Also note that the B_i commute with each other, and therefore so do the A_i .

Corollary of 5.2: If $A: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is orthogonal with det A = -1, then A is a product of at most $\frac{n-1}{2}$ commuting planar rotations and a **reflection** which commutes with the rotations.

Proof: Let Q be an orthogonal matrix satisfying $A = QBQ^{-1} = QBQ^T$ with

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} R_{\theta_1} & & & \\ & R_{\theta_2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & R_{\theta_k} & & \\ & & & & I_{n-2k-1} & \\ & & & & & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The columns of Q are the basis given by Thm 5.2. We can express B as the product

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} R_{\theta_1} & & & \\ & I_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & I_2 & & \\ & & & I_{n-2k} \end{bmatrix} \dots \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & & & & \\ & I_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & R_{\theta_k} & & \\ & & & & I_{n-2k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & & & & \\ & I_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & I_2 & & \\ & & & & & I_{n-2k-1} & \\ & & & & & & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$=: B_1 B_2 \dots B_k B_{k+1}$$

from which we obtain the factorization

$$A = QBQ^{-1}$$

= $(QB_1Q^{-1})(QB_2Q^{-1})\dots(QB_kQ^{-1})(QB_{k+1}Q^{-1})$
= $A_1A_2\dots A_kA_{k+1}$

in which each A_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ is a planar rotation, whereas A_{k+1} is a reflection, which flips the vector v_n . As before, the B_i commute with each other, and therefore so do the A_i .

3 Examples

Here are a few simple examples.

Example: The transformation $A \colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ with matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

is a rotation in the yz-plane composed with a reflection across the yz-plane (flipping the x-axis), and the two commute:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ 0 & \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example: The transformation $A \colon \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ with matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_1 & 0 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0\\ 0 & \cos \theta_2 & 0 & -\sin \theta_2\\ \sin \theta_1 & 0 & \cos \theta_1 & 0\\ 0 & \sin \theta_2 & 0 & \cos \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a rotation in the x_1x_3 -plane of \mathbb{R}^4 composed with a rotation in the x_2x_4 -plane, and the two commute:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta_2 & 0 & -\sin\theta_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin\theta_2 & 0 & \cos\theta_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta_1 & 0 & -\sin\theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin\theta_1 & 0 & \cos\theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin\theta_1 & 0 & \cos\theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta_2 & 0 & -\sin\theta_2 \\ 0 & \cos\theta_2 & 0 & -\sin\theta_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin\theta_2 & 0 & \cos\theta_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us now illustrate the theorems and their proofs with a more substantial example.

Example: Consider the orthogonal matrix

$$A = \frac{1}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 & -3 & 1 \\ 5 & -1 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -3 & -5 \\ 3 & 3 & -3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Computing a diagonalization of A yields $A = U D U^{-1}$ where

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is diagonal and

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} v & \overline{v} & v_3 & v_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2+i & -2-i & 1 & 0\\ 1+2i & 1-2i & 0 & -1\\ i & -i & -1 & 2\\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has orthogonal columns (we dropped the normalization condition for simplicity).

Note that the eigenvalues $\pm i$ can be written as $e^{i\alpha}$ where α happens to be $\frac{\pi}{2}$. We will use the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvector v corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda = i$. We have:

$$\operatorname{Re} v = \begin{bmatrix} -2\\1\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \operatorname{Im} v = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A(\operatorname{Re} v) = \operatorname{Re}(\lambda v) = (\cos \alpha) \operatorname{Re} v - (\sin \alpha) \operatorname{Im} v = -\operatorname{Im} v$$
$$A(\operatorname{Im} v) = \operatorname{Im}(\lambda v) = (\sin \alpha) \operatorname{Re} v + (\cos \alpha) \operatorname{Im} v = \operatorname{Re} v$$

so that in the (orthogonal) basis $\{\operatorname{Re} v, \operatorname{Im} v, v_3, v_4\}$ the transformation A has matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Writing $Q = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} v & \operatorname{Im} v & v_3 & v_4 \end{bmatrix}$, we obtain a factorization

$$A = QBQ^{-1}$$

$$= (Q \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1})(Q \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} Q^{-1})$$

$$= A_1A_2$$

where A_1 is a planar rotation in the plane $\operatorname{Span}\{\operatorname{Re} v, \operatorname{Im} v\} = \operatorname{Span}\{\begin{bmatrix} -2\\1\\0\\1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1\\0\end{bmatrix}\}$ and A_2 is

the reflection which flips the vector $v_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.