Calculus 2502A - Advanced Calculus I Fall 2014 §14.7: Local minima and maxima

Martin Frankland

November 17, 2014

In these notes, we discuss the problem of finding the local minima and maxima of a function.

1 Background and terminology

Definition 1.1. Let $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, with domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. A point $\vec{a} \in D$ is called:

- a local minimum of f if $f(\vec{a}) \leq f(\vec{x})$ holds for all \vec{x} in some neighborhood of \vec{a} .
- a local maximum of f if $f(\vec{a}) \ge f(\vec{x})$ holds for all \vec{x} in some neighborhood of \vec{a} .
- a global minimum (or *absolute minimum*) of f if $f(\vec{a}) \leq f(\vec{x})$ holds for all $\vec{x} \in D$.
- a global maximum (or *absolute maximum*) of f if $f(\vec{a}) \ge f(\vec{x})$ holds for all $\vec{x} \in D$.

An **extremum** means a minimum or a maximum.

Definition 1.2. An interior point $\vec{a} \in D$ is called a:

- critical point of f if f is not differentiable at \vec{a} or if the condition $\nabla f(\vec{a}) = \vec{0}$ holds, i.e., all first-order partial derivatives of f are zero at \vec{a} .
- saddle point of f if it is a critical point which is not a local extremum. In other words, for every neighborhood U of \vec{a} , there is some point $\vec{x} \in U$ satisfying $f(\vec{x}) < f(\vec{a})$ and some point $\vec{x} \in U$ satisfying $f(\vec{x}) > f(\vec{a})$.

Remark 1.3. Global minima or maxima are not necessarily unique. For example, the function $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = \sin x$ has a global maximum with value 1 at the points:

$$\dots, -\frac{3\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{5\pi}{2}, \dots = \left\{\frac{\pi}{2} + 2k\pi \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$$

and a global minimum with value -1 at the points:

$$\dots, -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}, \frac{7\pi}{2}, \dots = \left\{ \frac{3\pi}{2} + 2k\pi \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

For a sillier example, consider a *constant* function $g(\vec{x}) = c$ for all $\vec{x} \in D$. Then *every* point $\vec{x} \in D$ is simultaneously a global minimum and a global maximum of g.

Proposition 1.4. Let \vec{a} be an interior point of the domain D. If \vec{a} is a local extremum of f, then any partial derivative of f which exists at \vec{a} must be zero.

Proof. Assume that the partial derivative $D_m f(\vec{a})$ exists, for some $1 \leq m \leq n$. Then the function of a single variable

$$g(x) := f(a_1, a_2, \dots, \overbrace{x}^{m^{\text{th coordinate}}}, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n)$$

is differentiable at $x = a_m$ and has a local extremum at $x = a_m$. Therefore its derivative vanishes at that point:

$$g'(a_m) = D_m f(\vec{a}) = 0.$$

Remark 1.5. The converse does not hold: a critical point of f need not be a local extremum. For example, the function $f(x) = x^3$ has a critical point at x = 0 which is a saddle point.

Upshot: In order to find the local extrema of f, it suffices to consider the *critical points* of f.

2 One-dimensional case

Recall the second derivative test from single variable calculus.

Proposition 2.1 (Second derivative test). Let $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of a single variable, with domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let $a \in D$ be a critical point of f such that the first derivative f' exists in a neighborhood of a, and the second derivative f''(a) exists.

- If f''(a) > 0 holds, then f has a local minimum at a.
- If f''(a) < 0 holds, then f has a local maximum at a.
- If f''(a) = 0 holds, then the test is inconclusive.

Remark 2.2. The following examples illustrate why the test is inconclusive when the critical point a satisfies f''(a) = 0.

- The function $f(x) = x^4$ has a local minimum at 0.
- The function $f(x) = -x^4$ has a local maximum at 0.
- The function $f(x) = x^3$ has a saddle point at 0.

Example 2.3. Find all local extrema of the function $f \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = 3x^4 - 4x^3 - 12x^2 + 10.$$

Solution. Note that f is twice differentiable on all of \mathbb{R} , and moreover the domain of f is open in \mathbb{R} (so that there are no boundary points to check). Let us find the critical points of f:

$$f'(x) = 12x^3 - 12x^2 - 24x = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x^3 - x^2 - 2x = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x (x^2 - x - 2) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x (x + 1)(x - 2) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x = -1, 0, \text{ or } 2.$$

Let us use the second derivative test to classify these critical points:

$$f''(x) = 12 (3x^2 - 2x - 2)$$

$$f''(-1) = 12 (3 + 2 - 2) = 12(3) > 0$$

$$f''(0) = 12 (-2) < 0$$

$$f''(2) = 12 (12 - 4 - 2) = 12(6) > 0$$

from which we conclude:

- x = -1 is a local minimum of f, with value f(-1) = 3 + 4 12 + 10 = 5.
- x = 0 is a local maximum of f, with value f(0) = 10.
- x = 2 is a local minimum of f, with value f(2) = 3(16) 4(8) 12(4) + 10 = -22.

Remark 2.4. In this example, the function f has no global maximum, because it grows arbitrarily large: $\lim_{x\to+\infty} f(x) = +\infty$.

Moreover, the condition $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = +\infty$, together with the extreme value theorem, implies that f reaches a global minimum. Therefore, x = 2 is in fact the global minimum of f, with value f(2) = -22, as illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Graph of the function f in Example 2.3.

3 Two-dimensional case

Theorem 3.1 (Second derivatives test). Let $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of two variables, with domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $(a, b) \in D$ be a critical point of f such that the second partial derivatives $f_{xx}, f_{xy}, f_{yx}, f_{yy}$ exist in a neighborhood of (a, b) and are continuous at (a, b), which implies in particular $f_{xy}(a, b) = f_{yx}(a, b)$. The **discriminant** of f is the 2 × 2 determinant

$$D := \begin{vmatrix} f_{xx} & f_{xy} \\ f_{yx} & f_{yy} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= f_{xx} f_{yy} - f_{xy}^2.$$

Now consider the discriminant D = D(a, b) at the point (a, b).

- If D > 0 and $f_{xx}(a, b) > 0$ hold, then f has a local minimum at (a, b).
- If D > 0 and $f_{xx}(a, b) < 0$ hold, then f has a local maximum at (a, b).
- If D < 0 holds, then f has a saddle point at (a, b).
- If D = 0 holds, then the test is inconclusive.

Remark 3.2. The following examples illustrate why the test is inconclusive when the discriminant satisfies D = 0.

- The function $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^4$ has a (strict) local minimum at (0, 0).
- The function $f(x, y) = x^2$ has a (non-strict) local minimum at (0, 0).
- The function $f(x, y) = -x^2 y^4$ has a (strict) local maximum at (0, 0).
- The function $f(x, y) = -x^2$ has a (non-strict) local maximum at (0, 0).
- The function $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^3$ has a saddle point at (0, 0).
- The function $f(x, y) = -x^2 + y^3$ has a saddle point at (0, 0).
- The function $f(x, y) = x^2 y^4$ has a saddle point at (0, 0).

Example 3.3 (# 14.7.11). Find all local extrema and saddle points of the function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x,y) = x^3 - 12xy + 8y^3.$$

Solution. Note that f is infinitely many times differentiable on its domain \mathbb{R}^2 . Let us find the critical points of f:

$$\begin{cases} f_x(x,y) = 3x^2 - 12y = 0\\ f_y(x,y) = -12x + 24y^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 4y = x^2\\ x = 2y^2 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow 4y = (2y^2)^2 = 4y^4$$

$$\Leftrightarrow y = y^4$$

$$\Leftrightarrow y(y^3 - 1) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow y = 0 \text{ or } y^3 = 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow y = 0 \text{ or } y = 1$$

$$y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 2(0)^2 = 0$$

$$y = 1 \Rightarrow x = 2(1)^2 = 2$$

which implies that (0,0) and (2,1) are the only points that could be critical points of f, and indeed they are.

Let us compute the discriminant of f:

$$f_{xx}(x, y) = 6x$$

$$f_{xy}(x, y) = -12$$

$$f_{yy}(x, y) = 48y$$

$$D(x, y) = f_{xx}(x, y)f_{yy}(x, y) - f_{xy}(x, y)^2$$

$$= (6x)(48y) - (-12)^2$$

$$= 12^2 (2xy - 1).$$

At the critical point (0,0), the discriminant is:

$$D(0,0) = 12^2(0-1) < 0$$

so that (0,0) is a saddle point.

At the critical point (2, 1), the discriminant is:

$$D(2,1) = 12^2(4-1) > 0$$

and we have $f_{xx}(2,1) = 6(2) = 12 > 0$, so that (2,1) is a local minimum with value f(2,1) = 8 - 24 + 8 = -8, as illustrated in Figure 2..



Figure 2: Graph of the function f in Example 3.3.

4 Why does it work?

4.1 Quadratic approximation

The second derivatives test relies on the quadratic approximation of f at the point (a, b), which is the Taylor polynomial of degree 2 at that point.

Recall that for functions of a single variable f(x), the quadratic approximation of f at a is:

$$f(x) \approx f(a) + f'(a)(x-a) + f''(a)\frac{(x-a)^2}{2}$$

Since the variable of interest is the *displacement* from the basepoint a, let us rewrite h := x - a and thus:

$$f(a+h) \approx f(a) + f'(a)h + f''(a)\frac{h^2}{2}.$$

If a is a critical point of f, then we have f'(a) = 0 and the quadratic approximation becomes:

$$f(a+h) \approx f(a) + f''(a)\frac{h^2}{2}.$$

If $f''(a) \neq 0$ holds, then the quadratic term will determine the local behavior of f around a: f''(a) > 0 yields a local minimum while f''(a) < 0 yields a local maximum.

Idea:

- If f''(a) > 0 holds, then f qualitatively behaves like $f(a + h) = f(a) + h^2$ when h is small.
- If f''(a) < 0 holds, then f behaves like $f(a+h) = f(a) h^2$.

For functions of two variables f(x, y), the quadratic approximation at (a, b) is:

$$\begin{split} f(x,y) &\approx f(a,b) + f_x(a,b)(x-a) + f_y(a,b)(y-b) + \\ &+ f_{xx}(a,b)\frac{(x-a)^2}{2} + f_{xy}(a,b)(x-a)(y-b) + f_{yy}(a,b)\frac{(y-b)^2}{2} \end{split}$$

Again, consider the displacement from the basepoint (a, b) and write

$$\vec{h} := (h, k) := (x - a, y - b)$$

and thus:

$$f(a+h,b+k) \approx f(a,b) + f_x(a,b)h + f_y(a,b)k + f_{xx}(a,b)\frac{h^2}{2} + f_{xy}(a,b)hk + f_{yy}(a,b)\frac{k^2}{2}$$

In vector notation, which is convenient in higher dimension, this can be rewritten as:

$$f(a+h,b+k) \approx f(a,b) + \nabla f(a,b) \cdot \vec{h} + \frac{1}{2}\vec{h}^T H_f(a,b)\vec{h}$$

where $H_f(a, b)$ is the **Hessian** of f at the point (a, b), defined as the matrix of second partial derivatives:

$$H_f = \begin{bmatrix} f_{xx} & f_{xy} \\ f_{yx} & f_{yy} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here \vec{h}^T denotes the row vector $\begin{bmatrix} h & k \end{bmatrix}$, which is the *transpose* of the column vector $\vec{h} = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ k \end{bmatrix}$.

If (a, b) is a critical point of f, then we have $f_x(a, b) = 0$ and $f_y(a, b) = 0$, and the quadratic approximation becomes:

$$f(a+h,b+k) \approx f(a,b) + f_{xx}(a,b)\frac{h^2}{2} + f_{xy}(a,b)hk + f_{yy}(a,b)\frac{k^2}{2}$$
$$= f(a,b) + \frac{1}{2}\vec{h}^T H_f(a,b)\vec{h}.$$

4.2 Behavior close to a critical point

The Hessian $H_f(a, b)$ should be viewed as a symmetric bilinear form on the tangent space of the domain D at the point (a, b). Given two direction vectors \vec{v} and \vec{w} , the Hessian outputs the number

$$\vec{v}^T H_f(a,b)\vec{w} = D_{\vec{w}} D_{\vec{v}} f(a,b)$$

where $D_{\vec{v}}f(a,b)$ denotes the derivative of f in the (non-normalized) direction \vec{v} at the point (a,b). For example, taking standard basis vectors $\vec{v} = \vec{i}$ and $\vec{w} = \vec{i}$ yields the number

$$\vec{i}^T H_f(a,b)\vec{i} = D_{\vec{i}} D_{\vec{i}} f(a,b) = f_{xx}(a,b)$$

and taking $\vec{v} = \vec{i}$ and $\vec{w} = \vec{j}$ yields the number

$$\vec{\imath}^T H_f(a,b)\vec{\jmath} = D_{\vec{\jmath}} D_{\vec{\imath}} f(a,b) = f_{xy}(a,b).$$

From linear algebra, we know that a symmetric bilinear form is classified, up to a change of basis, by its **signature**: how many of its eigenvalues are positive, zero, or negative. Let λ_1, λ_2 be the eigenvalues of $H_f(a, b)$. Depending on the signs of λ_1 and λ_2 , the bilinear form $H_f(a, b)$ will be equivalent (up to a change of basis) to one of the following:

- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ if λ_1 and λ_2 are both positive.
- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ if one λ_i is positive and the other is negative.
- $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ if λ_1 and λ_2 are both negative.
- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ if one λ_i is positive and the other is zero.
- $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ if one λ_i is negative and the other is zero.
- $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ if λ_1 and λ_2 are both zero.

Upshot: To analyze the behavior of f close to a critical point (a, b), the first step is to find the signs of the eigenvalues of the Hessian $H_f(a, b)$.

The discriminant of f was defined as the determinant of the Hessian, which is the product of the eigenvalues:

$$D(a,b) = \det H_f(a,b) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2.$$

Definition 4.1. A critical point (a, b) of f is called **non-degenerate** if the Hessian $H_f(a, b)$ is non-degenerate, i.e., has only non-zero eigenvalues. Otherwise, the critical point is called **degenerate**, which means that the Hessian $H_f(a, b)$ has an eigenvalue 0.

Note that a critical point is degenerate if and only if the product of the eigenvalues is zero: $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 0$; equivalently, the discriminant is zero: D(a, b) = 0.

Example 4.2. For all the functions described in Example 3.2, the origin (0, 0) is a degenerate critical point of f. As we have seen, the function f can have all kinds of behaviors close to a degenerate critical point.

In contrast, close to a non-degenerate critical point, the behavior of f is dictated by the signature of the Hessian. If the discriminant satisfies $D(a, b) \neq 0$, then the critical point (a, b) is non-degenerate, and its signature is one of the following:

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The case of one positive eigenvalue and one negative eigenvalue happens if and only if the discriminant is negative: $D = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0$.

In the case D > 0, there are still two possible signatures:

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

• $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

To distinguish between the two cases, Sylvester's criterion (from linear algebra) tells us that both eigenvalues are positive if and only if the top left entry of the matrix is positive: $f_{xx}(a, b) > 0$.

Remark 4.3. For this last step, one could also use the equivalent condition $f_{yy}(a,b) > 0$, or that the trace of the matrix is positive: $f_{xx}(a,b) + f_{yy}(a,b) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 > 0$.

Summary: Here is a reinterpretation of the second derivatives test.

- $D = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ one of the eigenvalues λ_i is zero.
- $D < 0 \Leftrightarrow$ one of the eigenvalues is positive and the other is negative.
- D > 0 and $f_{xx} > 0 \Leftrightarrow$ both eigenvalues λ_i are positive.
- D > 0 and $f_{xx} < 0 \Leftrightarrow$ both eigenvalues λ_i are negative.

Idea:

- If both eigenvalues are positive, then f qualitatively behaves like $f(a + h, b + k) = f(a, b) + h^2 + k^2$ when h and k are small.
- If one eigenvalue is positive and the other is negative, then f behaves like $f(a+h, b+k) = f(a, b) + h^2 k^2$.
- If both eigenvalues are negative, then f behaves like $f(a+h, b+k) = f(a, b) h^2 k^2$.