

PRODUCTS OF CONJUGACY CLASSES IN $SU(2)$

LISA C. JEFFREY AND AUGUSTIN-LIVIU MARE

ABSTRACT. We obtain a complete description of the conjugacy classes C_1, \dots, C_n in $SU(2)$ with the property that $C_1 \dots C_n = SU(2)$. The basic instrument is a characterization of the conjugacy classes C_1, \dots, C_{n+1} in $SU(2)$ with $C_1 \dots C_{n+1} \ni I$, which generalizes a result of [Je-We].

1. INTRODUCTION

The following problem was posed by D. Burago:

Problem: Let G be a group. For which conjugacy classes C_1, \dots, C_n of G is it true that the multiplication map

$$C_1 \times \dots \times C_n \rightarrow G$$

is surjective?

We give a solution to this problem in the case $G = SU(2)$. In this case the conjugacy classes are parametrized by their eigenvalues

$$\text{diag}(e^{i\lambda}, e^{-i\lambda})$$

so they are determined by one number $\lambda \in [0, \pi]$.

2. EIGENVALUES OF A MULTIPLE PRODUCT

For any $\lambda \in [0, \pi]$ we denote by $C(\lambda)$ the conjugacy class of the matrix

$$\text{Diag}(e^{i\lambda}, e^{-i\lambda})$$

in $SU(2)$. Note that any conjugacy class in $SU(2)$ is of the form $C(\lambda)$ for a unique $\lambda \in [0, \pi]$. The following result was proved in [Je-We]:

Proposition 2.1. *For $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in [0, \pi]$ we have*

$$C(\lambda_1)C(\lambda_2)C(\lambda_3) \ni I$$

Date: November 10, 2003.

iff

$$(1) \quad |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| \leq \lambda_3 \leq \min\{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, 2\pi - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\}$$

Note that (1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 &\leq 2\pi \\ -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 &\leq 0 \\ -\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 &\leq 0 \\ \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The goal of this section is to prove the more general result:

Theorem 2.2. *For $n \geq 2$ an integer number and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n+1} \in [0, \pi]$ we have*

$$C(\lambda_1) \dots C(\lambda_{n+1}) \ni I$$

iff the following system of inequalities holds:

a) If $n + 1 = 2k$ is an even number:

$$(2) \quad S_{n+1}^1(\{\lambda_i\}) \leq (n-1)\pi, \quad S_{n+1}^3(\{\lambda_i\}) \leq (n-3)\pi, \quad \dots \quad S_{n+1}^{2k-1}(\{\lambda_i\}) \leq 0$$

where $S_{n+1}^j(\{\lambda_i\})$ is any sum of the type $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \pm \lambda_i$ which contains exactly j minus signs.

b) If $n + 1 = 2k + 1$ is an odd number:

$$(3) \quad S_{n+1}^0(\{\lambda_i\}) \leq n\pi, \quad S_{n+1}^2(\{\lambda_i\}) \leq (n-2)\pi, \quad \dots \quad S_{n+1}^{2k}(\{\lambda_i\}) \leq 0$$

where $S_{n+1}^j(\{\lambda_i\})$ has the same meaning as before.

Remarks. 1. A more concise way to express both (2) and (3) is

$$S_{n+1}^{n-2j}(\{\lambda_i\}) \leq 2j\pi$$

for any $0 \leq j \leq n/2$ and any sum of the type S_{n+1}^{n-2j} .

2. An elementary computation involving the binomial formula shows that the number of inequalities in both (2) and (3) is

$$\binom{n+1}{0} + \binom{n+1}{2} + \dots = \binom{n+1}{1} + \binom{n+1}{3} + \dots = 2^n.$$

We will use induction on n to prove this theorem. In order to make the induction step we will need the following result:

Lemma 2.3. *We have*

$$C(\lambda_1) \dots C(\lambda_{n+1}) \ni I$$

iff there exists $\lambda \in [0, \pi]$ such that

$$(4) \quad C(\lambda_1) \dots C(\lambda_{n-1})C(\lambda) \ni I$$

and

$$(5) \quad C(\lambda)C(\lambda_n)C(\lambda_{n+1}) \ni I.$$

Proof. The fundamental group of the $(n + 1)$ -punctured sphere Σ_{n+1} in two dimensions is the free group on n generators, or the group

$$\Pi_n = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \mid x_1 \dots x_{n+1} = 1 \rangle$$

with $n+1$ generators and one relation. We can form the $(n+1)$ -punctured sphere by gluing an $(n - 1)$ -punctured sphere and a 3-punctured sphere along one of the boundary components of each. Call S the common boundary resulting from this construction and consider the fundamental groups of the two components as follows:

$$\Pi_{n-2} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x \mid x_1 \dots x_{n-2}x = 1 \rangle$$

and

$$\Pi_2 = \langle x', x_n, x_{n+1} \mid x'x_nx_{n+1} = 1 \rangle,$$

where x and x' represent the loop S in each of the two components. From the theorem of Seifert-van Kampen, we have that

$$(6) \quad \Pi_{n+1} = (\Pi_{n-1} \times \Pi_2) / \langle xx' = 1 \rangle$$

Now we consider representations of these groups into $G = SU(2)$. The condition (7) is equivalent to the existence of a representation ρ of Π_{n+1} such that

$$\rho(x_i) \in C(\lambda_i)$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$. From (6), this is equivalent to the existence of two representations ρ_{n-2} of Π_{n-2} and ρ_2 of Π_2 which coincide with ρ on x_1, \dots, x_{n-2} , respectively x_n, x_{n+1} and satisfy

$$\rho_{n-2}(x)\rho_2(x') = I.$$

The latter equality implies that the conjugacy classes of $\rho_{n-2}(x)$ and $\rho_2(x')$ are equal, call them $C(\lambda)$ (note that in $SU(2)$ every element is conjugate to its inverse). The conditions 4 and 5 correspond respectively to the representations ρ_{n-2} and ρ_2 .

□

Proof of Theorem 2.2 Just the induction step has to be performed. We want to prove that

$$(7) \quad C(\lambda_1) \dots C(\lambda_{n+1}) \ni I$$

iff equation (2) or (3) holds. Suppose that $n = 2k$ is an even number. Condition (4) of Lemma 2.3 is equivalent to

$$(8) \quad S_n^1(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda) \leq (n-2)\pi, S_n^3(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda) \leq (n-4)\pi, \dots, S_n^{2k-1}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda) \leq 0$$

where we have used the induction hypothesis, and condition 5 is equivalent to

$$(9) \quad |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| \leq \lambda \leq \min\{\lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1}, 2\pi - (\lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1})\}$$

where we have used Proposition 2.1 By Lemma 2.3, condition (7) is equivalent to the system of inequalities obtained by considering each of the 2^{n-1} inequalities from (8) and deriving from it two inequalities, as follows:

- (i) if λ occurs with a *plus* sign in that sum, replace it by $\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$ and $-\lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1}$
- (ii) if λ occurs with a *minus* sign in that sum, replace it by $\lambda_n + \lambda_{n+1}$ and $-\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$, but in the latter situation add 2π to the right hand side of the original inequality.

One sees that in the case (i) we replace an inequality of the type

$$(10) \quad S_n^j \leq (n-j-1)\pi$$

by two different inequalities, both of the type

$$(11) \quad S_{n+1}^{j+1} \leq (n-j-1)\pi.$$

In the case (ii) one again replaces an inequality of the type (10) by an inequality of the type (11) and an inequality of the type

$$S_{n+1}^{j-1} \leq (n-j+1)\pi.$$

One obtains 2^n distinct inequalities of type (3), which means that (7) is really equivalent to (3).

A similar argument can be made when $n = 2k - 1$ is an odd number. □

Remark. The result stated in Theorem 1.2 can also be obtained from [Ag-Wo, Theorem 3.1] by using the structure of the quantum cohomology ring of $\mathbb{C}P^1$. More precisely, let us consider the two Schubert classes in $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^1)$:

$$[\sigma_1] \in H^2(\mathbb{C}P^1) \text{ and } [\sigma_2] = 1 \in H^0(\mathbb{C}P^1).$$

The quantum cohomology ring of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is

$$(QH^*(\mathbb{C}P^1) = H^*(\mathbb{C}P^1) \otimes \mathbb{R}[q], \star),$$

where q is a formal variable of degree 4 and \star is an $\mathbb{R}[q]$ -linear, commutative and associative product which satisfies

$$(12) \quad [\sigma_1] \star [\sigma_1] = q.$$

Each of the 2^n inequalities indicated in Theorem 2.2 can be obtained by choosing $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, 2\}$ and evaluating the product

$$[\sigma_{i_1}] \star \dots \star [\sigma_{i_n}]$$

in $QH^*(\mathbb{C}P^1)$. By the equation (12), this product is of the form $q^d \sigma_k$, where d is a positive integer and $k \in \{1, 2\}$. The inequality of the type (2) or (3) which corresponds to i_1, \dots, i_n is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i_j-1} \lambda_j + (-1)^k \lambda_{n+1} \leq 2d\pi.$$

3. SURJECTIVITY OF A MULTIPLE PRODUCT

Our main result is

Theorem 3.1. *We have*

$$(13) \quad C(\lambda_1) \dots C(\lambda_n) = SU(2)$$

iff for any integer j with $0 \leq j < n/2$ and for any sum of the type $S_n^j = S_n^j(\{\lambda_i\})$ (see Thm.1.2) we have

$$(14) \quad -(j-1)\pi \leq S_n^j \leq (n-j-1)\pi.$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is that (13) holds iff (7) holds for any $\lambda_{n+1} \in [0, \pi]$. In turn, (7) is equivalent to (2), respectively (3). We just have to take each inequality from (2) (respectively (3)) and make the following formal replacements in its left hand side:

- (i) λ_{n+1} by π
- (ii) $-\lambda_{n+1}$ by 0.

Let us consider the case $n = 2k - 1$. We have to show that if we perform (i) and (ii) for each inequality contained in (2), we obtain exactly one of the following inequalities:

$$(15) \quad \pi \leq S_n^0 \leq (n-1)\pi$$

$$(16) \quad 0 \leq S_n^1 \leq (n-2)\pi$$

$$(17) \quad -\pi \leq S_n^2 \leq (n-3)\pi$$

$$(18) \quad -2\pi \leq S_n^3 \leq (n-4)\pi$$

.....

We claim that if we label the inequalities given by (2) as [1], [3], ..., [2k - 3], [2k - 1] then each of [1] and [2k - 1] gives exactly one of (15) and (16), each of [3] and [2k - 3] gives exactly one of (17) and (18), ... and finally

- if $k = 2p$ is even, then each of [2p - 1] and [2p + 1] gives exactly one of

$$\begin{aligned} -(k-1)\pi &\leq S_n^{k-2} \leq (k-2)\pi \\ -(k-2)\pi &\leq S_n^{k-1} \leq (k-1)\pi \end{aligned}$$

- if $k = 2p + 1$ is odd, then each of [2p + 1] gives exactly one of

$$-(k-2)\pi \leq S_n^{k-1} \leq (k-1)\pi$$

Consider first [1] together with [2k - 1]: the only S_{n+1}^1 which contains $-\lambda_{n+1}$ leads to

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \leq (n-1)\pi$$

whereas the only S_{n+1}^{2k-1} which contains λ_{n+1} leads to

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \geq \pi.$$

The remaining inequalities of type $S_{n+1}^1 \leq (n-1)\pi$ lead to all possible inequalities of the type

$$S_n^1 \leq (n-2)\pi$$

and the remaining inequalities of the type $S_{n+1}^{2k-1} \leq 0$ lead to all possible inequalities of the type

$$S_n^1 \geq 0.$$

The same idea applies¹ to each pair [2j + 1], [2(k - j) - 1], $0 \leq j < k/2$ (if $k = 2p + 1$ is an odd number, then for $j = p$ we have $2j + 1 = 2(k - j) - 1$ and the corresponding pair reduces to just one type of inequalities).

Similar ideas can be used in the case when $n = 2k$ is an even number. □

Remark. The system of inequations (14) admit solutions for any $n \geq 2$. For $n = 2$ the *unique* solution is

$$(19) \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

For $n \geq 3$ there are several solutions, one of them consisting of λ_1, λ_2 given by (19) and

$$\lambda_3 = \dots \lambda_n = 0.$$

¹If we compare the total number of inequalities we start with to the number of inequalities obtained via (i) and (ii) we “deduce” that $\binom{n+1}{2j+1} + \binom{n+1}{n+1-(2j+1)} = 2(\binom{n}{2j+1} + \binom{n}{2j})$. The latter equation is obviously true, by properties of the Pascal triangle.

REFERENCES

- [Je-We] L. C. Jeffrey and J. Weitsman *Bohr-Sommerfeld orbits in the moduli space of flat connections and the Verlinde dimension formula* Comm. Math. Phys., Vol. 150 (1992), 593–630.
- [Ag-Wo] S. Agnihotri and C. T. Woodward *Eigenvalues of products of unitary matrices and quantum Schubert calculus* Math. Res. Lett., Vol. 5 (1998), 817–836

(L. C. Jeffrey) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO M5S 3G3, CANADA

E-mail address: jeffrey@math.toronto.edu

(A.-L. Mare) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO M5S 3G3, CANADA

E-mail address: amare@math.toronto.edu