

KOSTANT CONVEXITY FOR SYMMETRIC R-SPACES REVISITED

AUGUSTIN-LIVIU MARE

An embedding of a connected and compact manifold M into Euclidean space $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is called *extrinsically symmetric* if for any $x \in M$, the reflection r_x of V about the affine normal space at x leaves M invariant. If we equip M with the submanifold metric, then the restriction of r_x to M is an involutive isometry. Relative to these isometries, M is an (intrinsically) symmetric space. One knows precisely what these embeddings are: by a theorem of Ferus [3] (see also [4]), they are the so-called symmetric R-spaces, which we present in what follows. We start with a simply connected symmetric space of non-compact type G/K and consider the Lie algebras of G and K , which are \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} , respectively. Let also $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding Cartan decomposition and $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ a maximal abelian subspace. The roots are elements of \mathfrak{a}^* ; we denote by W be the corresponding Weyl group, which acts linearly on \mathfrak{a} . Pick $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\alpha(\xi) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$, for any root α . The adjoint orbit $K\xi := \text{Ad}_G(K)\xi$ turns out to be an extrinsic symmetric submanifold of \mathfrak{p} , the latter being equipped with the inner product given by the negative of the Killing form. Such orbits are called *symmetric R-spaces*. The aforementioned theorem of Ferus says that all extrinsic symmetric submanifolds in Euclidean space are of this type.

The following result is a special case of a theorem of Kostant [6] (see also [2] for a symplectic version and [9] for a generalization to isoparametric submanifolds). It describes the image of $M := K\xi$ under the orthogonal projection map $\pi : \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$. To make the statement more clear, we first recall that $M \cap \mathfrak{a} = W\xi$.

Theorem 1. (Kostant) *If $M = K\xi$ is a symmetric R-space, then $\pi(M) = \text{convex hull of } W\xi$.*

Our goal here is to give an alternative proof of this theorem.

Claim 1. For any $w \in W$ and $x \in M$, the line segment between $w\xi$ and $\pi(x)$ is contained in $\pi(M)$.

There exists a flat F in the symmetric space M such that both $w\xi$ and x are in F . By a theorem of Eschenburg, Quast, and Tanaka [5] (see also [8, Theorem 7], cf. also [7]), F equipped with the submanifold metric is a direct product of round circles, each of them contained in an affine 2-plane, these planes being orthogonal to each other. Moreover, \mathfrak{a} is contained in the normal space $\nu_{w\xi}M$, hence also in $\nu_{w\xi}F$. The projection of F onto the latter space is convex (concretely, a hypercuboid), hence its projection onto \mathfrak{a} is convex as well. This implies Claim 1.

Claim 2. The convex hull of $W\xi$ is contained in $\pi(M)$.

This follows readily from Claim 1: first, the convex polytope in the claim has its edges contained in $\pi(M)$; then we prove inductively that the 2-faces, 3-faces etc. are contained in $\pi(M)$.

Claim 3. $\pi(M)$ is contained in the convex hull of $W\xi$.

If $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ is a regular vector (i.e., not canceled by any root), then the critical set of the height function $h_a : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h_a(x) := \langle a, x \rangle$ is $W\xi$. Thus the largest height relative to a is reached somewhere in $W\xi$, possibly at more than one point in there. But then, for any $y \in \pi(M)$, one has

$$(1) \quad \langle a, y \rangle \leq \max\{\langle a, w\xi \rangle \mid w \in W\}.$$

The latter inequality holds true even when a is not regular: otherwise, there exists $y \in \pi(M)$ such that $\langle a, y \rangle > \langle a, w\xi \rangle$ for all $w \in W$; by continuity, this remains true if we replace a by a regular vector sufficiently close to it, which is a contradiction. Now, that we know that (1) holds for any $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, one deduces that y is the convex hull of $W\xi$ (recall that any polytope in Euclidean space is completely determined by its “valid” inequalities, see e.g. [1, Theorem 6.11]).

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Jost-Hinrich Eschenburg and Peter Quast for helpful conversations and hints.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. J. Cook, W. H. Cunningham, W. R. Pulleyblank, and A. Schrijver, *Combinatorial Optimization*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1998
- [2] J. J. Duistermaat, *Convexity and tightness for restrictions of Hamiltonian functions to fixed point sets of an antisymplectic involution*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983), 417-429.
- [3] D. Ferus, *Symmetric submanifolds of Euclidean space*, Math. Ann. **247** (1980), 81-93.
- [4] J.-H. Eschenburg and E. Heintze, *Extrinsic symmetric spaces and orbits of s -representations*, Manuscripta Math. **88** (1995), 517-524.
- [5] J.-H. Eschenburg, P. Quast, and M. Tanaka, *Maximal tori of extrinsic symmetric spaces and meridians*, Osaka J. Math. **52** (2015), 299-305.
- [6] B. Kostant, *On convexity, the Weyl group and the Iwasawa decomposition*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **6** (1973), 413-455.
- [7] O. Loos, *Charakterisierung symmetrischer Räume durch ihre Einheitsgitter*, Math. Z. **189** (1985), 211-226.
- [8] P. Quast and M. Tanaka, *On the geometry of symmetric R -spaces*, Development of Group Actions and Submanifold Theory, Ed. Takashi Sakai, RIMS Kôkyûroku, vol. 1929 (2014), 64-74.
- [9] C.-L. Terng, *Convexity theorems for infinite dimensional isoparametric submanifolds*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 9-22.

(A.-L. Mare) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF REGINA, REGINA, SASKATCHEWAN, CANADA S4S 0A2

E-mail address: mareal@math.uregina.ca